



Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences

ISSN: 1040-8363 (Print) 1549-781X (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ilab20

Genetic and molecular determinants of prostate cancer among Iranian patients: An update

Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan, Gordon A. Ferns & Meysam Moghbeli

To cite this article: Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan, Gordon A. Ferns & Meysam Moghbeli (2019): Genetic and molecular determinants of prostate cancer among Iranian patients: An update, Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences, DOI: 10.1080/10408363.2019.1657061

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408363.2019.1657061</u>

1	ſ	1	(1

Published online: 06 Sep 2019.



🖉 Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 5



🖸 View related articles 🗹



🌔 🛛 View Crossmark data 🗹

REVIEW ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis

Check for updates

Genetic and molecular determinants of prostate cancer among Iranian patients: An update

Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan^a, Gordon A. Ferns^b and Meysam Moghbeli^c

^aMetabolic Syndrome Research Center, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran; ^bDivision of Medical Education, Brighton & Sussex Medical School, Brighton, UK; ^cMedical Genetics Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

ABSTRACT

Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the most common age-related cancers among men. Various environmental and genetic factors are involved in the development and progression of PCa. In most cases, the primary symptoms of disease are not severe. Therefore, it is common for patients to be referred with severe clinical manifestations at advanced stages of disease. Since this malignancy is age related and Iran will face a significant increase in the number of seniors, it is expected that the prevalence of PCa among Iranian men will rise. PCa progression has been observed to be associated with genetic and ethnic factors. It may therefore be clinically useful to determine a panel of genetic markers, in addition to routine diagnostic methods, to detect tumors in the early stages. In the present review, we have summarized the reported genetic markers in PCa Iranian patients to pave the way for the determination of an ethnic specific genetic marker panel for the early detection of PCa. To understand the genetic and molecular biology of PCa among Iranians, we have categorized these genetic markers based on their cellular functions.

Abbreviations: ABCC1: ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 1; AR: androgen receptor; BACH1: BTB domain and CNC homolog 1; BAX: BCL-2 associated X; BCL-2: B-cell lymphoma 2; BPH: benign prostatic hyperplasia; CTA: cancer-testis antigen; CCNA2: cyclin A2; CDC34: cell division cycle 34; CDK8: cyclin-dependent kinase 8; CTAs: cancer-testis antigens; CXCR4: C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4; DAB2IP: disabled homolog 2 interacting protein; ECM: extracellular matrix; EMT: epithelial mesenchymal transition; ERs: estrogen receptors; FGF: fibroblast growth factor; FOXP3: forkhead box P3; GNB3: G protein subunit beta 3; GPCR: G protein coupled receptor; GSTs: glutathione S-transferases; HGPIN: high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia; HMGA2: high mobility group AT-hook 2; HOTAIR: HOX transcript antisense RNA; IDC-P: intra-ductal carcinoma of the prostate; LAPTM4B: lysosome-associated protein transmembrane-4b; LEMD1: LEM domain-containing 1; MAGI2: membrane associated guanylate kinase; MAPK/ERK: mitogenactivated protein kinase/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase; MARE: Maf recognition element; MDM2: mouse double minute 2 homolog; MIF: migration inhibitory factor; MMP: matrix metalloproteinase; MTHFR: methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; ncRNA: noncoding RNA; NKX3.1: androgen related and homeodomain-containing prostate-specific transcription factor; NO: nitric oxide; PCa: prostate cancer; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; PSCA: prostate stem cell antigen; PSGR: prostate-specific G-protein coupled receptor; PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homolog; RAMP1: receptor activity-modifying protein 1; RARB: retinoic acid receptor beta; RARE: retinoic acid response elements; ROS: reactive oxygen species; RTK: receptor tyrosine kinases; SAM: S-adenosylmethionine; SETD8: set domain-containing protein 8; SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism; SPATA19: spermatogenesis-associated protein 19; SPRY2: sprouty homolog 2; TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β; UGT: UDP-glucuronosyl transferase; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is a heterogeneous age-related disorder that is a leading cause of cancer related deaths among men [1]; it is the second most common cancer among men worldwide [2], and the most common cancer among American and European males [3].

Approximately 1.1 million cases of PCa were reported in 2012, of which 70% were in developing countries [4]. The prevalence of PCa, which varies among ethnic groups, may be related to genetic and environmental factors. PCa, the third most common cancer in men in Iran, is less common than in Western countries [4,5]

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 24 April 2019 Revised 16 July 2019 Accepted 14 August 2019 Published online 6 September 2019

KEYWORDS

Prostate cancer; early diagnosis; genetic panel marker; Iran

CONTACT Meysam Moghbeli a meysam_moghbeli@yahoo.com, moghbelim@mums.ac.ir 🗈 Medical Genetics Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

and other Asian countries such as Turkey and Lebanon; Iran has a low incidence of PCa (9.11 per 100,000). Such differences of PCa incidence in different countries may be associated with such factors as lifestyle and socioeconomic conditions. In Iran the prevalence also varies regionally, and the highest agestandardized rate was observed among immigrants to British Columbia, Canada (25.2 per 100,000), while Kerman province in Iran had the lowest rate (3.2 per 100,000) [6]. Age is the main risk factor for PCa and the majority of cases are observed in those over 60 years [7,8]. There is a hereditability of up to 10% in the general population and about 40% in men younger than 55 years [9,10]. Ethnicity is an important risk factor of PCa, and Asians and African/Americans have the lowest and highest incidences in the world, respectively [11]. Black men have a noticeably higher risk of PCa and mortality than white men [11]. A relationship between ethnicity and genetic factors has been observed in the risk of PCa; for example, black patients have a lower number of CAG repeats in the androgen receptor (AR) gene [12], higher polymorphic variation of VDR [13], and type 25-alpha reductase [14]. Physical exercise, dietary pattern, hormone deficiency, and metabolic syndrome are also involved in PCa risk [15–17]. There is also a relationship between diet and PCa in which high consumption of fat and red meat is associated with an increased risk of PCa [18]. Furthermore, various infectious agents such as cytomegalovirus, human herpesvirus-8, herpes simplex virus 1, hepatitis, and human papillomavirus-16 are also associated with PCa through inflammatory processes [19]. The primary clinical manifestation of PCa are urinary problems, followed by bone pain, renal impairment and hematuria, and weight loss in advanced stages of PCa. PCa biomarkers have been identified in serum, urine, and tissues, and include prostate-specific antigen (PSA), miRNAs, sarcosine, and epigenetic markers [20-23]. Although serum PSA levels and digital rectal examination are common methods of PCa diagnosis, other factors such as ejaculation, trauma, and infection can also increase the levels of serum PSA. Because most patients are referred for treatment after the occurrence of severe clinical symptoms at advanced stages, a panel of genetic markers, in addition to routine diagnostic methods, may be useful to detect PCa in the primary stages. In the present review, we have summarized the reported genetic markers used in studies of Iranian PCa patients (Table 1). We have categorized the reported markers based on their involvement in cellular processes among Iranian patients (Figure 1).

Intracellular defense systems and drug resistance

Drug resistance in tumor cells can be related to genetic differences among people. The glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) family of enzymes are a group of multifunctional proteins involved in detoxification of carcinogens through glutathione conjugation [24]. A correlation between GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotypes and PCa susceptibility was reported in a subpopulation of Iranian patients. The Ile/Val or Val/Val genotype of GSTP1 was also associated with PCa [25]. Moreover, there were significant correlations between GST genotypes, Gleason scores, and cancer stages [25]. In addition to extracellular stresses like anti-cancer drugs, reactive oxygen species (ROS) are another group of intracellular stresses that are the results of oxidative metabolism in mitochondria. ROS at low levels can induce proliferation or survival, and at high levels can oxidize DNA and proteins [26]. Therefore, cells must regulate the levels of ROS via different signaling pathways. BTB domain and CNC homolog 1 (BACH1) is a MAF-related basic leucine zipper transcription factor associated with oxidative stress [27] and tumor metastasis through matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-1 and C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4) [28]. BACH1 heterodimer with MafK suppresses the Maf recognition element (MARE) that is present in the regulatory region of various oxidative stress-responsive genes [29]. Significantly higher levels of BACH1 expression were observed in PCa tissues compared with normal tissues in a subpopulation of Iranian subjects [30]. BACH1 upregulation in DU145 cells was associated with higher cell motility, and BACH1 acted as an inducer for several metastatic genes such as CXCR4, MMP1, MMP9 and MMP13 in a PCa cell line. The authors concluded that BACH1 over-expression induced PCa invasion and aggressiveness [30].

Signaling pathways and transcription factors

The G protein coupled receptor (GPCR) family are cell surface receptors involved in a variety of cellular processes. G proteins are mediators that transfer the signals from the cell surface receptor to intracellular signaling pathways involved in cell growth and transcription [31]. Prostate-specific G-protein coupled receptor (PSGR) is expressed mainly in human prostate epithelium, which is upregulated in PCa [32]. PSGR upregulation is synergistically associated with phosphatase and tensin homolog (*PTEN*) loss during PCa progression and metastasis [33]. LGR4, a member of the GPCRs, is involved in epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) and metastasis of PCa cells through the PI3K/Akt signaling

Table 1. Genetic factors associated with PCa susceptibility in the Iranian population.

Year	Gene	Purpose	Population	Results
2011	GSTM1 and GSTT1	Diagnosis	336 controls 168 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2018	BACH1	Diagnosis	26 N/Ta	Over expression
2012	GNB3	Diagnosis	344 controls	Polymorphism was correlated with
2018	DAB2IP and SPRY2	Prognosis	50 N/T	DAB2IP and SPRY2 under
2014	HER-2/neu	Diagnosis	40 patients	Expression was correlated with
2016	LAPTM4B	Diagnosis	176 controls	Polymorphism was correlated with
2011	RARB and CDKN2a	Prognosis	63 patients	RARB and CDKN2a promoter
2016	DTEN and P63	Diagnosis	250 nationts	DTEN and D63 expression
2010	NKV2 1 and DTEN	Diagnosis	200 patients	Under expressions
2013		Diagnosis and	20 patients	
2014	NANOG	prognosis	20 patients	NANOG expression
2010		Dia ana asia	150 controls	Linker levels of some U. 25
2019	FUXP3 and IL-35	Diagnosis	150 patients	FOXP3 polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2018	IL1A	Diagnosis	155 controls 150 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2018	MIF	Diagnosis	135 controls 128 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2018	IL-6	Diagnosis	200 controls 130 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2018	IL-6	Diagnosis	250 controls 112 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2018	Survivin	Diagnosis	145 controls 157 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2016	Survivin	Diagnosis	94 controls 94 patients	Over expression
2015	p53 and ABCC1	Diagnosis	45 controls 45 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2014	TP53	Diagnosis	120 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2017	MDM2	Diagnosis	245 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2013	eNOS	Diagnosis	340 controls 170 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
2017	UGT2B	Diagnosis	360 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with
2004	AR	Diagnosis	50 patients	PCa risk AR expression was correlated with
2013	CYP17	Diagnosis	128 controls	BCL-2/BAX A2 allele was significantly
2012	ER-a	Diagnosis	74 patients 324 controls	correlated with PCa Polymorphism was correlated with
2014	PSCA	Diagnosis	162 patients 185 patients	PCa risk Correlation with Gleason score
2017	HOTAIR	Diagnosis	250 controls	Polymorphism was correlated with
			271 patients	PCa risk
2018	PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38	Diagnosis	98 patients	Over expressions
2017	ANRIL	Diagnosis	220 controls	Polymorphism was correlated with
2017	PRNCR1	Diagnosis	250 patients 358 patients	PCa risk Polymorphism was correlated with
2018	miR-let7b and miR-548	Diagnosis	40 controls	miR-let7b under expression and
2017	SETD8	Diagnosis	351 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with
2019	miR-21-5p, miR-141-3p, and miR-205-5p	Diagnosis	20 controls 45 patients	Over expression
	Year 2011 2018 2012 2018 2014 2016 2011 2016 2011 2016 2011 2016 2017 2018 2018 2018 2018 2018 2017 2013 2017 2013 2017 2013 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 2018 2017 <t< td=""><td>Year Gene 2011 GSTM1 and GSTT1 2018 BACH1 2012 GNB3 2018 DAB2IP and SPRY2 2014 HER-2/neu 2016 LAPTM4B 2011 RARB and CDKN2a 2016 PTEN and P63 NKX3.1 and PTEN NANOG 2019 FOXP3 and IL-35 2018 IL1A 2018 IL-6 2018 IL-6 2018 IL-6 2018 IL-6 2019 p53 and ABCC1 2014 TP53 2017 MDM2 2013 eNOS 2014 RP53 2017 UGT2B 2004 AR 2013 eNOS 2014 PSCA 2017 HOTAIR 2018 PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38 2017 HOTAIR 2018 PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38 2017 HOTAIR 2018 PRCAT17.5 pn miR-141-3p, and miR-548</td><td>YearGenePurpose2011GSTM1 and GSTT1Diagnosis2018BACH1Diagnosis2012GNB3Diagnosis2018DAB2IP and SPRY2Prognosis2014HER-2/neuDiagnosis2016LAPTM4BDiagnosis2016PTEN and P63Diagnosis2014NKX3.1 and PTENDiagnosis2015NKX3.1 and PTENDiagnosis2016PTEN and P63Diagnosis2017RARB and LL-35Diagnosis2018IL1ADiagnosis2018IL1ADiagnosis2018IL-6Diagnosis2018IL-6Diagnosis2016SurvivinDiagnosis2017MD2Diagnosis2018CYPI7Diagnosis2019PCSADiagnosis2018IL-6Diagnosis2019SurvivinDiagnosis2016SurvivinDiagnosis2017MDM2Diagnosis2013eNOSDiagnosis2014PSCADiagnosis2015PSCADiagnosis2016PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017SETD8Diagnosis2017SETD8Diagnosis2019miR-21-5p, miR-141-3p, and miR-205-5pDiagnosis</td><td>YearGenePurposePopulation2011GSTM1 and GSTT1Diagnosis336 controls 168 patients2018BACH1Diagnosis26 N/Ta2012GNB3Diagnosis344 controls 172 patients2018DAB2IP and SPRY2Prognosis50 N/T2014HER-2/neuDiagnosis40 patients2016LAPTM4BDiagnosis176 controls 168 patients2016LAPTM4BDiagnosis250 patients2016PTEN and P63 NKX3.1 and PTENDiagnosis Diagnosis250 patients2019FOXP3 and IL-35Diagnosis150 controls 150 patients2018IL1ADiagnosis150 controls 150 patients2018IL1ADiagnosis135 controls 150 patients2018IL-6Diagnosis200 controls 172 patients2018IL-6Diagnosis145 controls 172 patients2018IL-6Diagnosis145 controls 172 patients2019FOXP3 and ABCC1Diagnosis44 controls 172 patients2017MDM2Diagnosis245 patients2013eNOSDiagnosis245 patients2014TP53Diagnosis360 controls 170 patients2015p53 and ABCC1Diagnosis245 patients2017MDM2Diagnosis245 patients2018RARDiagnosis360 controls 170 patients2019FOXP3Diagnosis128 patients2014P5CADiagnos</td></t<>	Year Gene 2011 GSTM1 and GSTT1 2018 BACH1 2012 GNB3 2018 DAB2IP and SPRY2 2014 HER-2/neu 2016 LAPTM4B 2011 RARB and CDKN2a 2016 PTEN and P63 NKX3.1 and PTEN NANOG 2019 FOXP3 and IL-35 2018 IL1A 2018 IL-6 2018 IL-6 2018 IL-6 2018 IL-6 2019 p53 and ABCC1 2014 TP53 2017 MDM2 2013 eNOS 2014 RP53 2017 UGT2B 2004 AR 2013 eNOS 2014 PSCA 2017 HOTAIR 2018 PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38 2017 HOTAIR 2018 PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38 2017 HOTAIR 2018 PRCAT17.5 pn miR-141-3p, and miR-548	YearGenePurpose2011GSTM1 and GSTT1Diagnosis2018BACH1Diagnosis2012GNB3Diagnosis2018DAB2IP and SPRY2Prognosis2014HER-2/neuDiagnosis2016LAPTM4BDiagnosis2016PTEN and P63Diagnosis2014NKX3.1 and PTENDiagnosis2015NKX3.1 and PTENDiagnosis2016PTEN and P63Diagnosis2017RARB and LL-35Diagnosis2018IL1ADiagnosis2018IL1ADiagnosis2018IL-6Diagnosis2018IL-6Diagnosis2016SurvivinDiagnosis2017MD2Diagnosis2018CYPI7Diagnosis2019PCSADiagnosis2018IL-6Diagnosis2019SurvivinDiagnosis2016SurvivinDiagnosis2017MDM2Diagnosis2013eNOSDiagnosis2014PSCADiagnosis2015PSCADiagnosis2016PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017PRCR1Diagnosis2017SETD8Diagnosis2017SETD8Diagnosis2019miR-21-5p, miR-141-3p, and miR-205-5pDiagnosis	YearGenePurposePopulation2011GSTM1 and GSTT1Diagnosis336 controls 168 patients2018BACH1Diagnosis26 N/Ta2012GNB3Diagnosis344 controls 172 patients2018DAB2IP and SPRY2Prognosis50 N/T2014HER-2/neuDiagnosis40 patients2016LAPTM4BDiagnosis176 controls 168 patients2016LAPTM4BDiagnosis250 patients2016PTEN and P63 NKX3.1 and PTENDiagnosis Diagnosis250 patients2019FOXP3 and IL-35Diagnosis150 controls 150 patients2018IL1ADiagnosis150 controls 150 patients2018IL1ADiagnosis135 controls 150 patients2018IL-6Diagnosis200 controls 172 patients2018IL-6Diagnosis145 controls 172 patients2018IL-6Diagnosis145 controls 172 patients2019FOXP3 and ABCC1Diagnosis44 controls 172 patients2017MDM2Diagnosis245 patients2013eNOSDiagnosis245 patients2014TP53Diagnosis360 controls 170 patients2015p53 and ABCC1Diagnosis245 patients2017MDM2Diagnosis245 patients2018RARDiagnosis360 controls 170 patients2019FOXP3Diagnosis128 patients2014P5CADiagnos

(continued)

Table 1. Continued.

	Year	Gene	Purpose	Population	Results
Aghaee-Bakhtiari [157]	2015	miR-23a and b	Diagnosis	26 patients	Under expression
Hashemi [162]	2016	miR-499	Diagnosis	351 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
Hashemi [164]	2017	miR-3131	Diagnosis	340 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
DNA repair and cell cycle					
Ebrahimi [167]	2017	MTR	Diagnosis	100 controls 100 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
Safarinejad [170]	2010	MTHFR	Diagnosis	348 controls 174 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
Jafary [172]	2012	MSH3	Diagnosis	60 controls 18 patients	Polymorphism was correlated with PCa risk
Pourmand [176]	2007	PTEN	Diagnosis and prognosis	51 patients	Mutation
Cancer testis antigens			1 5		
Ghafouri-Fard [180]	2010	LEMD1 and SPATA19	Diagnosis	55 patients	Over expressions
Ousati Ashtiani [183]	2009	TGIFLX/Y	Diagnosis	75 patients	Correlation with Gleason score
Cell adhesion and extracellular matrix					
Foroozan [188]	2017	CD34 and CD117	Diagnosis	90 patients	Correlation with Gleason score
Dehghani [193]	2014	CXCL12	Diagnosis and prognosis	33 controls 79 patients	Correlation with Gleason score
Kalantari [196]	2017	CD44 and CD133	Diagnosis	148 patients	Inverse correlation between CD44 expression and Gleason score
Mostafavi-Pour [199]	2015	Integrin α4 and E-cadherin	Diagnosis	70 patients	Methylation
Mahdian [203]	2014	MAGI2	Diagnosis	81 patients	Under expression
Mohsenzadegan [204]	2015	NGEP	Prognosis	183 patients	High level of expression was correlated with good prognosis
Mohsenzadegan [205]	2013	NGEP	Prognosis	186 patients	Expression was correlated with grade

^aTumor tissues and normal margins.



Figure 1. Cell and molecular processes which are involved in prostate cancer progression among Iranian patients.

pathway [34,35]. Moreover, *GPR160* is associated with apoptosis and cell cycle arrest; *GPR160* silencing significantly increased the levels of CASP1 in PCa cells [36].

Initial GPCR signal transduction is triggered by activation of heterotrimeric G proteins, which activate messenger systems, small GTPases, and kinase cascades. These proteins are composed of several subunits (α , β , and γ). The G protein subunit beta 3 (*GNB3*) encodes the β 3 subunit of G proteins [37]. When the role of rs5443 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in PCa progression was evaluated in a subpopulation of Iranian patients, a significant correlation between PCa and T allele of the *GNB3* C825T SNP was observed. Moreover, the presence of the *GNB3* 825T allele was significantly related to tumor grade and stage [38].

The mitogen-activated protein kinase/extracellularsignal-regulated kinase (MAPK/ERK) pathway is a cascade of signaling from cell surface into the nucleus. The ERK pathway integrates the external signals of mitogens into signaling pathways and leads to cell growth and proliferation. This process of activation of receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) and subsequently Ras [39] finally results in the phosphorylation and activation of ERK, which is involved in the regulation of various cell cycle mediators such as CDK4 and CDK6 [40]. EPHB4, a member of the RTKs, has been show to regulate ITGB8 expression, a finding that highlights the role of RTK in PCa cell migration and metastasis [41]. Moreover, RTK may also be associated with drug resistance in PCa [42]. Deregulation of the MAPK/ERK pathway may be associated with an androgen-independent condition in PCa. Therefore, aberrations of disabled homolog 2 interacting protein (DAB2IP) and sprouty homolog 2 (SPRY2), as regulators of the RTK signaling pathway, may also be involved in PCa progression. DAB2IP is a tumor suppressor that regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis through Ras and other signaling pathways [43]. SPRY2 is one of the regulators of the RTK pathway and a negative regulator of such growth factors as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors, and BRAF [44]. When the expression of DAB2IP and SPRY2 transcript variants were assessed in Iranian PCa patients, there was significant DAB2IP and SPRY2 under expression of variant 1 and 2, respectively, in PCa in comparison with normal tissue [45]. The HER-2/neu proto-oncogene is an RTK associated with cell proliferation, migration, and apoptosis. HER-2/neu protein upregulation has been reported in 27.5% of a group of Iranian PCa patients. Moreover, an inverse correlation was observed between age and HER-2/neu expression [46]. EGFR and HER2 support the survival and growth of prostate tumor initiating and circulating cells that metastasize to bone [47]. HER-2/neu is involved in activation of AR and hormone-independent growth of PCa. HER-2/neu induces PCa cell growth in the absence of androgen through AKT activation [48]. Lysosomal degradation is a critical process in the termination of EGFR signaling. Lysosome-associated

protein transmembrane-4b (*LAPTM4B*) prolongs EGFR signaling through inhibition of lysosomal degradation [49]. *LAPTM4B* is a tetratransmembrane protein involved in neoplastic transformation and cell proliferation through the PI3K/AKT pathway [50–52]. A relationship has been observed between the *LAPTM4B* polymorphism and PCa susceptibility in a sub-population of Iranian patients [53]. There is also a correlation between *LAPTM4B* and the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway in which the *miR-188-5p* inhibits PI3K/AKT signaling through *LAPTM4B* suppression [54].

In addition to cell surface receptors, nuclear receptors participate in cellular transduction systems. Retinoic acid receptor beta (RARB) is a nuclear thyroidsteroid hormone receptor associated with cell growth and differentiation through binding with retinoic acid and retinoic acid response elements (RARE). Assessment of RARB and CDKN2a promoter methylation status in a subpopulation of Iranian subjects showed the prognostic value of RARB and p16 promoter hypermethylation in PCa compared with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) cases. Hypermethylation of RARB and p16 was more frequent among patients with a poor prognosis compared with those with a good prognosis [55]. RARB methylation in benign prostate samples was significantly correlated with increased risk of subsequent PCa among black men [56].

PTEN is a phosphatase antagonist of PI3K signaling that participates in cell cycle regulation and DNA damage checkpoints [57,58]. PTEN, a tumor suppressor, targets proteins in signaling pathways that are involved in cell growth and survival [59]. Loss of PTEN could function in coordination with the TMPRSS2-ERG fusion protein to induce PCa progression. Fallahabadi et al. observed TMPRSS2-ERG fusion in 64% of 42 Iranian PCa tissues while none was observed in normal samples [60]. Therefore, TMPRSS2-ERG fusion was PCa specific and could be considered as a marker to discern PCa from normal tissue. Moreover, the PCa cases had decreased PTEN expression compared with controls, and they concluded that simultaneous TMPRSS2-ERG fusion and PTEN deletion could be a reliable diagnostic method to detect PCa in Iranian men [60]. Another study reported PTEN loss in 89% of Iranian cases with intra-ductal carcinoma of the prostate (IDC-P) [61]. Regarding the presence of PTEN in high grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN) cases, the authors recommended PTEN as an efficient marker for differentiation between IDC-P and HGPIN. Moreover, based on the expression of P63 in IDC-P cases and the lack of P63 expression in adenocarcinoma, they suggested that P63 may be used as a marker to differentiate between IDC- P and adenocarcinoma. The sensitivity and specificity of P63 to distinguish HGPIN from IDC-P was 100% and 0% respectively, while PTEN had a specificity and sensitivity of 100% and 88.9%, respectively [61]. Activation of CXCL12 signaling in PCa is driven through PTEN loss and subsequent AKT activation [62]. PTEN loss upregulates cell cycle genes such as CDC6 and CCNE2 that induce distant metastasis in PCa [63]. PTEN is also associated with drug resistance in PCa; PTEN promotes drug-induced apoptosis by caspase-8 activation and BID suppression via a Fas-associated protein with death domain (FADD)-dependent signaling pathway [64]. Another study showed that the PTEN loss could promote PCa progression through unopposed activity of AR, which is associated with resistance toward androgen ablation therapy [65]. PTEN is also associated with the inhibitory effects of transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) on cell proliferation, which in its absence increases the effects of $TGF-\beta$ on the PI3-kinase pathway activation and cell migration in PCa [66].

NKX3.1, an androgen related and homeodomain-containing prostate-specific transcription factor, is requlated by other transcription factors such as ETS1 and SP1 [67,68]. Moreover, the WNT signaling pathway regulates NKX3.1 expression during epithelial differentiation [69]. A significant correlation has been shown between NKX3.1 and PTEN under expressions and the risk of PCa in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [70]. The receptor activity-modifying protein 1 (RAMP1), as a coreceptor for GPCRs, is a direct NKX3.1 target gene that is upregulated in PCa [71]. TWIST1 is one of the main regulators of EMT-mediated tumor invasion and metastasis. NKX3-1 downregulates TWIST1 expression during PCa progression and metastasis [72]. NKX3.1 also regulates cell cycle progression and DNA damage response in PCa cells [73]. As well, NKX3.1 is involved in lymphangiogenesis in advanced stages of PCa through the regulation of VEGF-C expression [74]. Cancer stem cells are a subpopulation of tumor cells with self-renewal ability and resistance toward chemotherapy. Various pluripotent transcription factors such as SOX2, OCT4, and NANOG are responsible for the maintenance of self-renewal ability in normal and cancer stem cells [75]. Expression of NANOG, nucleostemin, SOX2, ZFX, TCL1, TBX3, ESRRb, and DPPA4 self-renewal and stem cell markers have been reported in Iranian PCa patients. NANOG expression was observed in 80% of Iranian PCa tissues [76]. NANOG-expressing tumor cells have stem cell properties that promote aggressive castration-resistant features in PCa cells. NANOG regulates AR/FOXA1 signaling in PCa cells, which results in upregulation of genes associated with the cell cycle, self-renewal, cell migration, and castration resistance [77].

Inflammatory factors

Deregulation of the immune system plays an important role in tumor progression [78]. Chronic inflammation, which is associated with inflammatory cytokines such as interleukins, has an important role in neoplastic transformation of PCa [79]. Regulatory T cells have a key function in the termination of T cell response, immunologic tolerance, and inhibition of autoimmune responses [80,81]. Regulatory T cells exert their functions through anti-inflammatory cytokines including transforming growth factor (TGF- β) and IL-35 [82,83]. IL-35 is produced mainly by forkhead box P3 (FOXP3) positive regulatory T cells. Significant higher levels of serum IL-35 in PCa were observed compared with healthy cases in a sub-population of Iranian subjects [84]. An association between SNP rs3761548 of FOXP3 and PCa, in which the AA genotype and A allele had significantly higher levels of serum IL-35 compared with cases with CC genotype and C allele, was seen. There was a rising trend in serum IL-35 concentrations with more advanced stages of disease. Also Gleason score and IL-35 were correlated: PCa tissues with Gleason scores of 7-10 had higher levels of serum IL-35 compared with cases with scores of 1-6 [84]. IL-1A, a cytokine produced by cells such as monocytes, macrophages, and smooth muscle cells [85,86], is involved in tumor progression through IL-6, TNF-a, VEGF, MMPs, and TGF- β [87–89]. A group assessed the correlation between the IL-1A rs3783553 (-/TTCA) polymorphism and the risk of PCa in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [90]. The authors observed a significant correlation between the IL-1A 4bp ins/del polymorphism and the risk of PCa and the stage. Moreover, miR-125a-3p has a more stable interaction with IL-1A in the del compared with the ins type [90]. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) is a multifunctional cytokine that belongs to the TGF- β family and acts as an inducer of TNF- α and IL-1 [91,92]. MIF is involved in inflammatory and immune responses and is ubiquitously expressed in various organs. A correlation between the MIF -173 G/C polymorphism and PCa was observed, and MIF has been proposed as a marker of aggressive PCa among Iranian patients [93]. Cytokine IL-6 functions as a protective agent in tumor cells against therapy-induced DNA damage, apoptosis, and oxidative stress, is associated with EMT and bone metastasis in aggressive PCa [94], and is involved in cell proliferation and apoptosis inhibition through various pathways including the JAK-STAT, MAPK, and PI3-K

pathways [94]. A significant higher frequency of the *IL-6* rs1800795 C variant was seen among a group of Iranian PCa patients in comparison with healthy cases [95]. Inflammatory factors that are produced by immune and tumor cells are associated with cell proliferation. *IL-6* is an inflammatory cytokine that is involved in metastasis as well as cell proliferation [96]. Significant differences between the *IL-6* –174G>C (rs1800795) GG variant and G allele frequencies were seen between Iranian PCa patients and controls. Moreover, the authors reported that the *IL-6* (-174C) allele was more frequent among PCa patients who had bone metastasis [97].

Apoptosis

Programmed cell death is a fundamental process that eliminates damaged or unwanted cells during organogenesis, immune response, cellular aging, and innate tumor-suppression. Apoptosis is related to the activation of caspase enzymes. Therefore, apoptosis deregulation can induce tumorigenesis and drug resistance. Neoplastic transformation of PCa is associated with the inability of cells to undergo apoptosis. Survivin belongs to the inhibitor of apoptosis family that represses the apoptosis process through inhibition of caspase 9, 3, and 7 [98]. This factor is highly expressed in tumor cells and is related to poor prognosis and patient survival. Survivin is also involved in the cell cycle, chromosome movement, drug resistance, and stress responses [99]. Significant correlations between 31G>C, 454G>A, and 148T>C polymorphisms and the risk of PCa in a subpopulation of Iranian patients were observed [100]. Moreover, a significant role of age and smoking was seen in the relationship to 31G>C and PCa risk, and similarly there was a correlation between the role of smoking between 571T>C and PCa susceptibility [100]. Another group that assessed protein expression of survivin among Iranian PCa patients observed negative expression in normal tissues, whereas there was a direct correlation between high survivin expression and advanced Gleason scores. The PCa tissues had higher levels of survivin expression compared with BPH tissue [101]. TP53 tumor suppressor plays key roles in DNA repair, cell cycle regulation, angiogenesis, genomic stability, and apoptosis. Moreover, P53 regulates the expression of ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 1 (ABCC1), a member of the ATP-binding cassette superfamily involving in antioxidative defense system and drug resistance. Significant direct correlations were seen between the codon 72 polymorphism of p53 (Pro/ Pro) and the ABCC1 G-1666A polymorphism and increased risk of PCa among a group of Iranian cases

[102]. Another group also reported a correlation between the TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and PCa susceptibility among Iranian patients, in which Pro/Pro carriers had a higher risk of PCa in comparison with the cases with the Arg/Arg phenotype. Moreover, the TP53 variant was correlated with age >65 years in PCa patients [103]. Mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2) is a p53-specific E3 ubiquitin ligase that ubiquitinates p53 for degradation by nuclear and cytoplasmic proteasomes. A significant correlation was seen between the 40-bp I/D polymorphism in the MDM2 promoter sequence and PCa risk in a subpopulation of Iranian patients; the I/D variant raised the PCa risk in comparison with the I/I genotype [104]. High levels of nitric oxide (NO) is also associated with cyclooxygenase-2, HIF-1a, MAPK, EGFR, and RAS. Moreover, deregulation of NO has an important role in angiogenesis induction and MMP regulation during tumor progression [105]. NO is produced by nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and is involved in angiogenesis and cell adhesion [106]. NO can also exert some oncogenic effects including DNA strand breaks [107] and P53 deregulation [108]. Significant correlations were seen between T-786C and intron 4 VNTR eNOS polymorphisms and the risk of PCa in a subpopulation of Iranian cases. Moreover, the T786C variant was associated with high grade and advanced PCa [109].

Steroid metabolism

Steroid hormones are critical for the normal function of the prostate gland. The prolonged presence of androgens may be associated with PCa progression that could be mediated through the regulation of growth factors and growth factor receptors [110]. Deregulated steroid hormones are critical for prostate growth and function [111]. The UDP-glucuronosyl transferase (UGT) gene family participates in steroid metabolism through glucuronidation [112]. An inverse correlation was reported between UGT2B activity and the risk of PCa [113,114]. A significant relationship was found between the UGT2B15 D85Y and null UGT2B17 variants and the risk of BPH in a subpopulation of Iranian PCa cases [115]. AR, a nuclear receptor for androgenic hormones, functions as a transcription factor in the regulation of target genes associated with cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation. Another study evaluated the correlation between AR and B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2), BCL-2 associated X (BAX), Ki-67 and P53, factors that are involved in cellular processes such as apoptosis and cell cycle regulation, in a subpopulation of Iranian PCa patients [116]. All the PCa cases had positive nuclear

expression of AR. Moreover, there were correlations between AR, BCL-2, and Ki-67, and P53 expression [116].

CYP17, which belongs to the CYP450 family, has 17ahydroxylase and 17, 20-lyase activity in testosterone metabolism [117]. CYP17A1 is also involved in the synthesis of progestins, glucocorticoids, and other androgens. The A2 allele of CYP17 is a T/C transition in -34 5UTR, which creates an additional SP1 promoter site that may cause increased CYP17 expression [118]. The A2 allele has been shown to be significantly correlated with PCa in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [119]. PCa is an age dependent malignancy in which the PCa risk increases with age because of hormonal imbalance. Although aging reduces the androgen levels, the levels of estrogen have an unchanging or rising trend at older ages [120]. Estrogen receptors (ERs) are comprised of the ER- α and ER- β members of the nuclear receptor family [121]. The ER- α Pvull C, ER- α Xbal G, and ER- β Alul G alleles were significantly correlated with PCa susceptibility in a sample of Iranian cases. Moreover, the *ER*- β Pvull CC and *ER*- β Rsal AG homozygotes and heterozygotes carriers were associated with high grade and stage tumor [122].

Prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA) may be a diagnostic marker and also a target for therapy in PCa [123,124]. PSCA is a small cell surface glycoprotein belonging to the Thy-1/Ly-6 family that may be associated with the regulation of cell proliferation. PSCA expression is stimulated by androgens through the androgen responsive element, which is located upstream of PSCA. Moreover, hTERT also functions as an inhibitor for PSCA expression [124]. When the expression pattern of PSCA was investigated in a subpopulation of Iranian PCa cases, PSCA was observed in the cytoplasm of 47% of PCa samples, while it was expressed mainly in the cell membrane of benign samples. PSCA expression had a rising trend during neoplastic transformation from normal to malignant prostate samples. Moreover, there was a significant association between PSCA expression and Gleason score among PCa cases [125].

Noncoding RNAs and post transcriptional regulation

In addition to coding sequences in genome, non-coding regions may also be associated with tumor progression [126]. Small and long noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) are involved in tumor progression through regulation of protein-coding regions [127]. NcRNAs are regulators of various biological processes including cell cycle [128,129], self-renewal [130], embryogenesis [131], and immune response [132]. HOX transcript antisense RNA (HOTAIR) is a long ncRNA which is transcribed from HOXC locus and has been reported in several tumor types [133]. HOTAIR plays vital roles in the regulation of gene expression and chromatin dynamics through interaction with histone methyltransferases and demethylases such as polycomb repressive complex 2 and lysine-specific histone demethylase 1, which resulted in H3K27 methylation and H3K4 demethylation. There was a significant correlation between the rs12826786 T allele and TT genotype of HOTAIR and PCa susceptibility in a subpopulation of Iranian cases. Moreover, the PCa cases had significantly higher presentation of the rs1899663 T allele and TT variant in comparison with BPH subjects. rs1899663 and rs12826786 were shown to be involved in the regulation of various transcription factors such as SOX, hepatocyte nuclear factor 4, and retinoid X receptor alpha [134]. Long ncRNAs, with a length of more than 200 nucleotides, are involved in regulation of differentiation and tissue development [135,136]. PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38 overexpression has been observed in PCa in comparison with BPH tissues in a group of Iranian patients. They showed that the sensitivity and specificity of PRCAT17.3 in urine samples were 78% and 69%, respectively. Moreover, the PCa tissues had CAT2184.4 under expression compared with BPH tissues. PRCAT17.3 and PRCAT38 may be the inducers of TMPRSS2 expression [137]. ANRIL is a long ncRNA involving in regulation of CDKN2A/B tumor suppressors and PRC1. There was a correlation between rs10757278, G/G genotype of ANRIL and the risk of PCa that could be related to its role in the modification of the STAT1 and PAX5 binding sites. It was concluded that the rs4977574 G variant decreased the PCa risk in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [138]. PRNCR1 is a long ncRNA that is associated with PCa progression through AR regulation [139]. There was significant correlation between PRNCR1 rs13252298, rs1456315, and rs7841060 polymorphisms and high risk of PCa in a sample of Iranian population [140].

MicroRNAs are ~22 nucleotide ncRNAs that function as posttranscriptional gene regulators through 3'UTR of target mRNAs [141]. *Let-7* microRNA is a regulator for oncogenes such as *RAS* genes and high mobility group AT-hook 2 (*HMGA2*). Moreover, various cell cycle regulators, such as cyclin A2 (*CCNA2*), cell division cycle 34 (*CDC34*), and cyclin-dependent kinase 8 (*CDK8*), are associated with *Let-7* [142,143]. *miR-let7b* was under expressed and *miR-548* was over expressed in PCa tissues in comparison with the normal margins in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [144]. Set domain-containing protein 8 (*SETD8*), one of the targets of *miR-502*, encodes a histone methyltransferase that participates in preservation of genome integrity [145], cell-cycle regulation [146], and DNA repair [147]. Moreover, it is associated with factors such as P53, TWIST, ERa, and AR [148–150]. The SETD8 rs16917496 SNP (miR-502 binding site in SETD8 3UTR) was correlated with PCa risk in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [151]. MiR-21 mediates tumor cell invasion through targeting PTEN which results in FAK/AKT phosphorylation [152]. Moreover, miR-21-5p is involved in EMT through signaling pathways such as TGF β and Hedgehog [153]. The levels of miR-21-5p, miR-141-3p, and miR-205-5p expression were significantly increased in urine samples of a subpopulation of Iranian patients with PCa, with specificities of 0.88, 0.86, and 0.69, and sensitivities of 0.81, 0.81, and 0.82, respectively [154]. MAPK and JAK/STAT play critical roles in cell proliferation and differentiation [155,156]. MiR-23a and miR-23b may be involved in regulation of these signaling pathways through IL-6R. Significant under expression of these miRNAs was observed in PCa tissues of a group of Iranian patients [157]. MiR-499 regulates H₂O₂-induced apoptosis through PDCD4 [158], which is also associated with inflammatory response [159] and differentiation [160]. Moreover, *miR-499* directly targets *TGF* β *R1*, which stimulates cell proliferation [161]. The miR-499 rs3746444 variant significantly elevated the PCa risk among Iranian patients [162]. Death domain containing 1, XIAP associated factor 1, and caspase 7 have been demonstrated to be targets of *miR-3131* that are involved in apoptosis [163]. The correlation between a 3-bp indel polymorphism (rs57408770) in pre-miR-3131 and the risk of PCa was assessed in a subpopulation of Iranian patients, and the ins/ins genotype was significantly associated with a high risk of PCa [164].

DNA repair and cell cycle

Methionine, an essential amino acid and precursor of cysteine, has been found to be a regulator of the innate immune system, lipid metabolism, antioxidant enzymes, and DNA repair [165]. Methionine synthase (MTR), an important factor in DNA repair, plays a critical role in tumor progression [166]. Since MTR has a key role in DNA repair and methylation, the *MTR*-A2756G polymorphism was assessed in a subpopulation of Iranian PCa patients, and the G allele and GG genotype were observed to be associated with PCa progression [167]. *MTHFR* is a key factor in folate metabolism and the production of 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, which is involved in remethylation of homocysteine to methionine [168]. Finally, S-adenosylmethionine is generated as the methyl donor for DNA repair [169]. There was a

significant correlation between 1298AC and 677TT genotypes of MTHFR and low PCa risk in a subpopulation of Iranian patients [170]. The mismatch repair system is an essential repair mechanism to detect and repair DNA synthesis errors such as insertion, deletion, and mismatched bases. Therefore, deregulation of the mismatch repair system could result in genomic instability and aberrant cell proliferation [171]. A significant correlation between MSH3 polymorphisms and PCa risk was observed in a subpopulation of Iranian subjects [172]. PTEN is a tumor suppressor phosphatase associated with dephosphorylation of the PI3-kinase pathway. Moreover, PTEN functions as a negative regulator of cell cycle progression through G1 arrest and apoptosis induction [173–175]. A mutational analysis in a subpopulation of Iranian PCa patients showed PTEN mutations in high grade PCa tissues and highlighted PTEN as a major prognostic factor in PCa [176].

Cancer testis antigens

Cancer-testis antigens (CTAs) are a family of proteins expressed in normal testis and tumor cells. Because such specific expression patterns are seen only in normal testis and tumor cells, targeted therapy (cancer vaccines) that are based on such markers should have the least side effects because they will not affect normal tissue [177]. Spermatogenesis-associated protein 19 (SPATA19) is a CTA involved in the preservation of the normal mitochondrial membrane. Among epithelial tumors, PCa is considered to be one of the best diseases for targeted immunotherapy because the immune system can exert a significant response toward PCa cells and this immune response against PCa cells can also be stimulated by various immunotherapeutic methods [178]. High glucose uptake and upregulation of glycolysis are strategies for tumor cells to solve the problem of hypoxia and ROS production through the Warburg effect. Therefore, the mitochondria can be used as a target for apoptosis induction in cancer cells [179]. It was observed that 23% and 40% of 30 PCa samples expressed LEM domain-containing 1 (LEMD1) and SPATA19, respectively, while there was no expressions of such genes in BPH samples. Therefore, these CTAs were considered to be promising targets for immune therapy in Iranian PCa patients [180]. TGIFLX/Y belong to the TALE family of transcription factors that are expressed in adult testis [181]. NIR1 and NIR2 as the main targets of TGIF2LX are involved in cell morphogenesis, cytokinesis, and metastasis [182]. A significant association was observed between Gleason score of C6

and *TGIFLX/Y* expressions in a sample of Iranian PCa patients [183].

Cell adhesion and extracellular matrix

The biology and function of cells relate to their surrounding environment. The extracellular matrix (ECM) and cell adhesion are the main regulators of tissue homeostasis, cell growth, migration, and differentiation. Therefore, deregulation of the ECM and cell adhesion can be associated with tumor progression through facilitation of cell migration and invasion. Indeed, the ability of tumor cells to migrate is associated not only with the extra cellular environment but also with intracellular processes such as cytoskeletal remodeling, which is involved in preparing cytoskeletal flexibility during cell migration. CD34, a transmembrane phosphoglycoprotein involved in cell-cell adhesion and angiogenesis, is a marker of hematopoietic cancer stem cells [184,185]. CD117 is a receptor tyrosine kinase protein that is associated with cell proliferation and differentiation through the stem cell factor [186,187]. The patterns of CD34 and CD117 expression in PCa were compared with benign tissues in a subpopulation of Iranian cases. CD34 over expression was observed in PCa in comparison with the benign samples. Moreover, there were direct correlations between CD34 expression and Gleason score and serum PSA level. In the case of CD117, there was also a correlation with advanced Gleason score. Generally, a significant correlation was seen between the CD34 high/CD117 high phenotype and advanced Gleason score. It was concluded that CD34 and CD117 had a synergistic role during PCa progression [188]. Metastasis, the ability of tumor cells to detach from the primary bulk tumor and generate secondary lesions in the other organs, is associated with cell-cell and cell-ECM adhesion and release [189]. Integrins are cell-surface receptors that regulate the cytoskeleton and signaling pathways through ECM attachment. Chemokines also affect tumor cell metastasis through cytoskeletal rearrangement and cell adhesion [190]. CXCL12 is associated with metastasis via regulation of migration, proliferation, and angiogenesis [191,192]. There was a significantly high level of CXCL12 in a group of Iranian PCa patients. Moreover, the Gleason scores were significantly associated with plasma CXCL12 level. CXCL12 may affect prostate tumor cell adhesion and metastasis through regulation of integrins [193]. CD44 is a cell surface glycoprotein associated with cell adhesion and migration [194]. CD133, a transmembrane glycoprotein expressed on adult stem cells to maintain stem cell properties, is also involved in

cell proliferation, apoptosis [195] and the regulation of the MAPK and AKT signaling pathways. The over expression of CD44 and CD133 was observed in almost half of the PCa cases in a group of Iranian patients. Moreover, a significant association was seen between CD44 expression and Gleason score in which the tumors with lower Gleason scores had higher levels of CD44 expression [196]. Cadherins and integrins, the main ECM adhesion proteins to hold cells together, have key function in tumor aggressiveness [197,198]. Aberrant DNA methylation of integrin $\alpha 4$ has been observed in 66.6% of a sample of Iranian PCa patients, while E-cadherin methylation was observed in only 6.6% of PCa cases [199]. Membrane associated guanylate kinase (MAGI2), a scaffold molecule belonging to the guanylate kinases family, is involved in anchoring proteins, including glutamate receptors, b-catenin and PTEN [200]. PTEN-MAGI2 interaction increases PTEN stability through reduction of its degradation [200-202]. A study reported that there was significant MAGI2 under expression, which increases AKT activation, in Iranian PCa tissues. MAGI2 had a sensitivity and specificity of 0.88 and 0.83, respectively [203]. NGEP belongs to the anoctamin/TMEM16 family and is involved in cell-cell interactions and transportation of compounds such as sugars, bile salts, and metal ions. There were inverse correlations between NGEP expression, Gleason score, and stage in a subpopulation of Iranian PCa cases. NGEP had low expression in poorly differentiated tumors and tumors with metastatic lymph nodes. NGEP was introduced as a diagnostic marker for the low risk patients [204]. Another study reported that Iranian benign prostate tissues had higher levels of NGEP expression in comparison with malignant tumor tissues. There was an inverse correlation between the level of NGEP expression and grade of tumor. The tumor samples with metastatic lymph nodes were also NGEP positive [205].

Conclusion

In the present review, we have summarized, for the first time, all of the significant genes that have been reported to date among Iranian PCa patients. We have categorized them based on their involvement in cellular processes to provide an overview of cellular processes during the progression of PCa among Iranian cases. Regarding the recent studies, post transcriptional regulation via ncRNAs can be considered to be the main aberrant molecular process during PCa progression among Iranians. This review may help to pave the way to the determination of a unique panel of genetic prognostic and diagnostic markers for PCa in our country. However, since the majority of studies were pilot studies, more studies will be required to develop an efficient clinical diagnostic genetic panel marker.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- [1] Kopper L, Timar J. Genomics of prostate cancer: is there anything to "translate"? Pathol Oncol Res. 2005;11:197–203.
- [2] Sadjadi A, Nooraie M, Ghorbani A. The incidence of prostate cancer in Iran: results of a population-based cancer registry. Arch Iran Med. 2007;10:481–485.
- [3] Bray F, Lortet-Tieulent J, Ferlay J, et al. Prostate cancer incidence and mortality trends in 37 European countries: an overview. Eur J Cancer. 2010;46: 3040–3052.
- [4] Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer. 2015;136:E359–86.
- [5] Hosseini SY, Danesh AK, Parvin M, et al. Incidental prostatic adenocarcinoma in patients with PSA less than 4 ng/mL undergoing radical cystoprostatectomy for bladder cancer in Iranian men. Int Braz J Urol. 2007;33:167–173.
- [6] Hassanipour S, Fathalipour M, Salehiniya H. The incidence of prostate cancer in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Prostate Int. 2018;6:41–45.
- [7] Pakzad R, Mohammadian-Hafshejani A, Ghoncheh M, et al. The incidence and mortality of prostate cancer and its relationship with development in Asia. Prostate Int. 2015;3:135–140.
- [8] Pourmand G, Salem S, Mehrsai A, et al. The risk factors of prostate cancer: a multicentric case-control study in Iran. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2007;8: 422–428.
- [9] Bratt O, Kristoffersson U, Lundgren R, et al. Familial and hereditary prostate cancer in southern Sweden. A population-based case-control study. Eur J Cancer. 1999;35:272–277.
- [10] Carter BS, Bova GS, Beaty TH, et al. Hereditary prostate cancer: epidemiologic and clinical features. J Urol. 1993;150:797–802.
- [11] Jemal A, Thomas A, Murray T, et al. Cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin. 2002;52:23–47.
- [12] Irvine RA, Yu MC, Ross RK, et al. The CAG and GGC microsatellites of the androgen receptor gene are in linkage disequilibrium in men with prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 1995;55:1937–1940.
- [13] Corder EH, Friedman GD, Vogelman JH, et al. Seasonal variation in vitamin D, vitamin D-binding protein, and dehydroepiandrosterone: risk of prostate cancer in black and white men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 1995;4:655.
- [14] Reichardt JK, Makridakis N, Henderson BE, et al. Genetic variability of the human SRD5A2 gene:

implications for prostate cancer risk. Cancer Res. 1995;55:3973–3975.

- [15] Castillejos-Molina R, Rodriguez-Covarrubias F, Sotomayor M, et al. Impact of metabolic syndrome on biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. Urol Int. 2011;87:270–275.
- [16] Hasenoehrl T, Keilani M, Sedghi Komanadj T, et al. The effects of resistance exercise on physical performance and health-related quality of life in prostate cancer patients: a systematic review. Support Care Cancer. 2015;23:2479–2497.
- [17] Lin PH, Aronson W, Freedland SJ. Nutrition, dietary interventions and prostate cancer: the latest evidence. BMC Med. 2015;13:3.
- [18] Kolonel LN. Fat, meat, and prostate cancer. Epidemiol Rev. 2001;23:72–81.
- [19] Patel AR, Klein EA. Risk factors for prostate cancer. Nat Clin Pract Urol. 2009;6:87–95.
- [20] Cao DL, Ye DW, Zhu Y, et al. Efforts to resolve the contradictions in early diagnosis of prostate cancer: a comparison of different algorithms of sarcosine in urine. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2011;14:166–172.
- [21] Catalona WJ, Smith DS, Ratliff TL, et al. Measurement of prostate-specific antigen in serum as a screening test for prostate cancer. N Engl J Med. 1991;324: 1156–1161.
- [22] Mahn R, Heukamp LC, Rogenhofer S, et al. Circulating microRNAs (miRNA) in serum of patients with prostate cancer. Urology. 2011;77:1265 e9–16.
- [23] Partin AW, Van Neste L, Klein EA, et al. Clinical validation of an epigenetic assay to predict negative histopathological results in repeat prostate biopsies. J Urol. 2014;192:1081–1087.
- [24] Kvitko K, Nunes JC, Weimer TA, et al. Cytochrome P4501A1 polymorphisms in South American Indians. Hum Biol. 2000;72:1039–1043.
- [25] Safarinejad MR, Shafiei N, Safarinejad SH. Glutathione S-transferase gene polymorphisms (GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1) and prostate cancer: a case-control study in Tehran, Iran. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. 2011;14: 105–113.
- [26] Gill JG, Piskounova E, Morrison SJ. Cancer, oxidative stress, and metastasis. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2016;81:163–175.
- [27] Ogawa K, Sun J, Taketani S, et al. Heme mediates derepression of Maf recognition element through direct binding to transcription repressor Bach1. EMBO J. 2001;20:2835–2843.
- [28] Yun J, Frankenberger CA, Kuo WL, et al. Signalling pathway for RKIP and Let-7 regulates and predicts metastatic breast cancer. EMBO J. 2011;30: 4500–4514.
- [29] Ishii T, Itoh K, Takahashi S, et al. Transcription factor Nrf2 coordinately regulates a group of oxidative stress-inducible genes in macrophages. J Biol Chem. 2000;275:16023–16029.
- [30] Shajari N, Davudian S, Kazemi T, et al. Silencing of BACH1 inhibits invasion and migration of prostate cancer cells by altering metastasis-related gene expression. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol. 2018;46: 1495–1504.

- [31] Neer EJ. Heterotrimeric G proteins: organizers of transmembrane signals. Cell. 1995;80:249–257.
- [32] Rodriguez M, Siwko S, Liu M. Prostate-specific G-protein coupled receptor, an emerging biomarker regulating inflammation and prostate cancer invasion. CMM. 2016;16:526–532.
- [33] Rodriguez M, Siwko S, Zeng L, et al. Prostate-specific G-protein-coupled receptor collaborates with loss of PTEN to promote prostate cancer progression. Oncogene. 2016;35:1153–1162.
- [34] Liang F, Yue J, Wang J, et al. GPCR48/LGR4 promotes tumorigenesis of prostate cancer via PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. Med Oncol. 2015;32:49.
- [35] Luo W, Tan P, Rodriguez M, et al. Leucine-rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 4 (Lgr4) is necessary for prostate cancer metastasis via epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Biol Chem. 2017; Sep 15292:15525–15537.
- [36] Zhou C, Dai X, Chen Y, et al. G protein-coupled receptor GPR160 is associated with apoptosis and cell cycle arrest of prostate cancer cells. Oncotarget. 2016;7:12823–12839.
- [37] Levine MA, Modi WS, O'Brien SJ. Chromosomal localization of the genes encoding two forms of the G protein beta polypeptide, beta 1 and beta 3, in man. Genomics. 1990;8:380–386.
- [38] Safarinejad MR, Safarinejad S, Shafiei N, et al. G Protein β3 subunit gene C825T polymorphism and its association with the presence and clinicopathological characteristics of prostate cancer. J Urol. 2012;188:287–293.
- [39] Meloche S, Pouyssegur J. The ERK1/2 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway as a master regulator of the G1- to S-phase transition. Oncogene. 2007;26: 3227–3239.
- [40] Chambard JC, Lefloch R, Pouyssegur J, et al. ERK implication in cell cycle regulation. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2007;1773:1299–1310.
- [41] Mertens-Walker I, Fernandini BC, Maharaj MS, et al. The tumour-promoting receptor tyrosine kinase, EphB4, regulates expression of integrin-beta8 in prostate cancer cells. BMC Cancer. 2015;15:164.
- [42] Bansal N, Mishra PJ, Stein M, et al. Axl receptor tyrosine kinase is up-regulated in metformin resistant prostate cancer cells. Oncotarget. 2015;6: 15321–15331.
- [43] Liu L, Xu C, Hsieh JT, et al. DAB2IP in cancer. Oncotarget. 2016;7:3766–3776.
- [44] Fritzsche S, Kenzelmann M, Hoffmann MJ, et al. Concomitant down-regulation of SPRY1 and SPRY2 in prostate carcinoma. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2006;13: 839–849.
- [45] Samadaian N, Salehipour P, Ayati M, et al. A potential clinical significance of DAB2IP and SPRY2 transcript variants in prostate cancer. Pathol Res Pract. 2018;214:2018–2024.
- [46] Zahir ST, Tafti HF, Rahmani K. Overexpression of HER-2/neu in patients with prostatic adenocarcinoma. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2014;15:6425–6428.
- [47] Day KC, Lorenzatti Hiles G, Kozminsky M, et al. HER2 and EGFR overexpression support metastatic

progression of prostate cancer to bone. Cancer Res. 2017;77:74–85.

- [48] Wen Y, Hu MC, Makino K, et al. HER-2/neu promotes androgen-independent survival and growth of prostate cancer cells through the Akt pathway. Cancer Res. 2000;60:6841–6845.
- [49] Tan X, Sun Y, Thapa N, et al. LAPTM4B is a PtdIns(4,5)P2 effector that regulates EGFR signaling, lysosomal sorting, and degradation. EMBO J. 2015;34: 475–490.
- [50] Li L, Shan Y, Yang H, et al. Upregulation of LAPTM4B-35 promotes malignant transformation and tumorigenesis in L02 human liver cell line. Anat Rec. 2011;294:1135–1142.
- [51] Li L, Wei XH, Pan YP, et al. LAPTM4B: a novel cancerassociated gene motivates multidrug resistance through efflux and activating PI3K/AKT signaling. Oncogene. 2010;29:5785–5795.
- [52] Yang H, Xiong F, Qi R, et al. LAPTM4B-35 is a novel prognostic factor of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Surg Oncol. 2010;101:363–369.
- [53] Hashemi M, Rezaei M, Narouie B, et al. Association between LAPTM4B gene polymorphism and prostate cancer susceptibility in an Iranian population. Mol Cell Oncol. 2016;3:e1169342.
- [54] Zhang H, Qi S, Zhang T, et al. miR-188-5p inhibits tumour growth and metastasis in prostate cancer by repressing LAPTM4B expression. Oncotarget. 2015;6: 6092–6104.
- [55] Ameri A, Alidoosti A, Hosseini SY, et al. Prognostic value of promoter hypermethylation of retinoic acid receptor beta (RARB) and CDKN2 (p16/MTS1) in prostate cancer. Chin J Cancer Res. 2011;23:306–311.
- [56] Tang D, Kryvenko ON, Mitrache N, et al. Methylation of the RARB gene increases prostate cancer risk in black Americans. J Urol. 2013;190:317–324.
- [57] Sun H, Lesche R, Li DM, et al. PTEN modulates cell cycle progression and cell survival by regulating phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5,-trisphosphate and Akt/ protein kinase B signaling pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999;96:6199–6204.
- [58] Puc J, Keniry M, Li HS, et al. Lack of PTEN sequesters CHK1 and initiates genetic instability. Cancer Cell. 2005;7:193–204.
- [59] Yoshimoto M, Cunha IW, Coudry RA, et al. FISH analysis of 107 prostate cancers shows that PTEN genomic deletion is associated with poor clinical outcome. Br J Cancer. 2007;97:678–685.
- [60] Fallahabadi ZR, Noori Daloii MR, Mahdian R, et al. Frequency of PTEN alterations, TMPRSS2-ERG fusion and their association in prostate cancer. Gene. 2016; 575:755–760.
- [61] Torabi-Nezhad S, Malekmakan L, Mashayekhi M, et al. Histopathological features of intra-ductal carcinoma of prostatic and high grade prostatic intraepithelialneoplasia and correlation with PTEN and P63. Prostate. 2016;76:394–401.
- [62] Conley-LaComb MK, Saliganan A, Kandagatla P, et al. PTEN loss mediated Akt activation promotes prostate tumor growth and metastasis via CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling. Mol Cancer. 2013;12:85.

- [63] Wu Z, Cho H, Hampton GM, et al. Cdc6 and cyclin E2 are PTEN-regulated genes associated with human prostate cancer metastasis. Neoplasia. 2009;11:66–76.
- [64] Yuan XJ, Whang YE. PTEN sensitizes prostate cancer cells to death receptor-mediated and drug-induced apoptosis through a FADD-dependent pathway. Oncogene. 2002;21:319–327.
- [65] Li P, Nicosia SV, Bai W. Antagonism between PTEN/ MMAC1/TEP-1 and androgen receptor in growth and apoptosis of prostatic cancer cells. J Biol Chem. 2001;276:20444–20450.
- [66] Kimbrough-Allah MN, Millena AC, Khan SA. Differential role of PTEN in transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) effects on proliferation and migration in prostate cancer cells. Prostate. 2018;78:377–389.
- [67] Preece DM, Harvey JM, Bentel JM, et al. ETS1 regulates NKX3.1 5' promoter activity and expression in prostate cancer cells. Prostate. 2011;71:403–414.
- [68] Yu CX, Jin T, Chen WW, et al. Identification of Sp1elements in the promoter region of human homeobox gene NKX3.1. Mol Biol Rep. 2009;36:2353–2360.
- [69] Kruithof-de Julio M, Shibata M, Desai N, et al. Canonical Wnt signaling regulates Nkx3.1 expression and luminal epithelial differentiation during prostate organogenesis. Dev Dyn. 2013;242:1160–1171.
- [70] Nodouzi V, Nowroozi M, Hashemi M, et al. Concurrent Down-Regulation of PTEN and NKX3.1 Expression in Iranian Patients with Prostate Cancer. Int Braz J Urol. 2015;41:898–905.
- [71] Logan M, Anderson PD, Saab ST, et al. RAMP1 is a direct NKX3.1 target gene up-regulated in prostate cancer that promotes tumorigenesis. Am J Pathol. 2013;183:951–963.
- [72] Eide T, Ramberg H, Glackin C, et al. TWIST1, A novel androgen-regulated gene, is a target for NKX3-1 in prostate cancer cells. Cancer Cell Int. 2013;13:4.
- [73] Erbaykent-Tepedelen B, Karamil S, Gonen-Korkmaz C, et al. DNA damage response (DDR) via NKX3.1 expression in prostate cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2014;141:26–36.
- [74] Zhang H, Muders MH, Li J, et al. Loss of NKX3.1 favors vascular endothelial growth factor-C expression in prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 2008;68: 8770–8778.
- [75] Moghbeli M, Moghbeli F, Forghanifard MM, et al. Cancer stem cell detection and isolation. Med Oncol. 2014;31:69.
- [76] Amini S, Fathi F, Mobalegi J, et al. The expressions of stem cell markers: Oct4, Nanog, Sox2, nucleostemin, Bmi, Zfx, Tcl1, Tbx3, Dppa4, and Esrrb in bladder, colon, and prostate cancer, and certain cancer cell lines. Anat Cell Biol. 2014;47:1–11.
- [77] Jeter CR, Liu B, Lu Y, et al. NANOG reprograms prostate cancer cells to castration resistance via dynamically repressing and engaging the AR/FOXA1 signaling axis. Cell Discov. 2016;2:16041.
- [78] Sheikhi A, Jafarzadeh A, Kokhaei P, et al. Whole tumor cell vaccine adjuvants: comparing IL-12 to IL-2 and IL-15. Iran J Immunol. 2016;13:148–166.
- [79] Puhr M, De Marzo A, Isaacs W, et al. Inflammation, Microbiota, and Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol Focus. 2016;2:374–382.

- [80] Liu C, Workman CJ, Vignali DA. Targeting regulatory T cells in tumors. FEBS J. 2016;283:2731–2748.
- [81] Singer BD, King LS, D'Alessio FR. Regulatory T cells as immunotherapy. Front Immunol. 2014;5:46.
- [82] Chaudhary B, Elkord E. Regulatory T cells in the tumor microenvironment and cancer progression: role and therapeutic targeting. Vaccines. 2016;4: pii: E28.
- [83] Jafarzadeh A, Jamali M, Mahdavi R, et al. Circulating levels of interleukin-35 in patients with multiple sclerosis: evaluation of the influences of FOXP3 gene polymorphism and treatment program. J Mol Neurosci. 2015;55:891–897.
- [84] Chatrabnous N, Ghaderi A, Ariafar A, et al. Serum concentration of interleukin-35 and its association with tumor stages and FOXP3 gene polymorphism in patients with prostate cancer. Cytokine. 2019;113: 221–227.
- [85] Di Paolo NC, Shayakhmetov DM. Interleukin 1α and the inflammatory process. Nat Immunol. 2016;17: 906–913.
- [86] Kelly PN, Romero DL, Yang Y, et al. Selective interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 4 inhibitors for the treatment of autoimmune disorders and lymphoid malignancy. J Exp Med. 2015;212:2189–2201.
- [87] Inoue A, Obayashi K, Sonoda Y, et al. Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-1 and alpha-smooth muscle actin expression by interleukin-1 alpha and tumour necrosis factor alpha in hepatic stellate cells. Cytotechnology. 2017;69:461–468.
- [88] Kwon MJ, Hong E, Choi Y, et al. Interleukin-1alpha promotes extracellular shedding of syndecan-2 via induction of matrix metalloproteinase-7 expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2014;446:487–492.
- [89] Mar AC, Chu CH, Lee HJ, et al. Interleukin-1 receptor type 2 acts with c-Fos to enhance the expression of Interleukin-6 and vascular endothelial growth factor A in colon cancer cells and induce angiogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2015;290:22212–22224.
- [90] Hashemi M, Bahari G, Sarhadi S, et al. 4-bp insertion/ deletion (rs3783553) polymorphism within the 3'UTR of IL1A contributes to the risk of prostate cancer in a sample of Iranian population. J Cell Biochem. 2018; 119:2627–2635.
- [91] Kim KW, Kim HR. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor: a potential therapeutic target for rheumatoid arthritis. Korean J Intern Med. 2016;31:634–642.
- [92] Lue H, Kleemann R, Calandra T, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF): mechanisms of action and role in disease. Microbes Infect. 2002;4: 449–460.
- [93] Razzaghi MR, Mazloomfard MM, Malekian S, et al. Association of macrophage inhibitory factor -173 gene polymorphism with biological behavior of prostate cancer. Urol J. 2019;16:32–36.
- [94] Nguyen DP, Li J, Tewari AK. Inflammation and prostate cancer: the role of interleukin 6 (IL-6). BJU Int. 2014;113:986–992.
- [95] Taheri M, Noroozi R, Rakhshan A, et al. IL-6 genomic variants and risk of prostate cancer. Urol J. 2018. DOI:10.22037/uj.v0i0.4543

- [96] Culig Z, Puhr M. Interleukin-6: a multifunctional targetable cytokine in human prostate cancer. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2012;360:52–58.
- [97] Dargahi Abbasabad G, Banan Khojasteh SM, Eskandari Naji H, et al. An interleukin-6 single nucleotide polymorphism and susceptibility to prostate adenocarcinoma and bone metastasis in an iranian population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2018;19: 1717–1720.
- [98] Kotipatruni RR, Nalla AK, Asuthkar S, et al. Apoptosis induced by knockdown of uPAR and MMP-9 is mediated by inactivation of EGFR/STAT3 signaling in medulloblastoma. PLoS One. 2012;7:e44798.
- [99] Soleimanpour E, Babaei E. Survivin as a potential target for cancer therapy. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015;16:6187–6191.
- [100] Karimian M, Aftabi Y, Mazoochi T, et al. Survivin polymorphisms and susceptibility to prostate cancer: A genetic association study and an in silico analysis. EXCLI J. 2018;17:479–491.
- [101] Eslami M, Khamechian T, Mazoochi T, et al. Evaluation of survivin expression in prostate specimens of patients with prostate adenocarcinoma and benign prostate hyperplasia underwent transurethral resection of the prostate or prostatectomy. Springerplus. 2016;5:621.
- [102] Behfarjam F, Rostamzadeh J, Zarei MA, et al. Association of two polymorphic codons in P53 and ABCC1 promoter with prostate cancer. Iran J Biotechnol. 2015;13:49–54.
- [103] Babaei F, Ahmadi SA, Abiri R, et al. The TP53 Codon 72 polymorphism and risk of sporadic prostate cancer among Iranian patients. Iran J Public Health. 2014;43:453–459.
- [104] Hashemi M, Amininia S, Ebrahimi M, et al. Association between polymorphisms in TP53 and MDM2 genes and susceptibility to prostate cancer. Oncol Lett. 2017;13:2483–2489.
- [105] Basudhar D, Somasundaram V, de Oliveira GA, et al. Nitric oxide synthase-2-derived nitric oxide drives multiple pathways of breast cancer progression. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2017;26:1044–1058.
- [106] Li LM, Kilbourn RG, Adams J, et al. Role of nitric oxide in lysis of tumor cells by cytokine-activated endothelial cells. Cancer Res. 1991;51:2531–2535.
- [107] Yoshie Y, Ohshima H. Synergistic induction of DNA strand breakage by catechol-estrogen and nitric oxide: implications for hormonal carcinogenesis. Free Radic Biol Med. 1998;24:341–348.
- [108] Calmels S, Hainaut P, Ohshima H. Nitric oxide induces conformational and functional modifications of wild-type p53 tumor suppressor protein. Cancer Res. 1997;57:3365–3369.
- [109] Safarinejad MR, Safarinejad S, Shafiei N, et al. Effects of the T-786C, G894T, and intron 4 VNTR (4a/b) polymorphisms of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene on the risk of prostate cancer. Urol Oncol. 2013;31:1132–1140.
- [110] Wilding G. The importance of steroid hormones in prostate cancer. Cancer Surv. 1992;14:113–130.
- [111] Bauman DR, Steckelbroeck S, Peehl DM, et al. Transcript profiling of the androgen signal in normal

prostate, benign prostatic hyperplasia, and prostate cancer. Endocrinology. 2006;147:5806–5816.

- [112] Gauthier-Landry L, Bélanger A, Barbier O. Multiple roles for UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT)2B15 and UGT2B17 enzymes in androgen metabolism and prostate cancer evolution. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2015;145:187–192.
- [113] Rane A, Ekstrom L. Androgens and doping tests: genetic variation and pit-falls. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2012; 74:3–15.
- [114] Wei Q, Galbenus R, Raza A, et al. Androgen-stimulated UDP-glucose dehydrogenase expression limits prostate androgen availability without impacting hyaluronan levels. Cancer Res. 2009;69:2332–2339.
- [115] Habibi M, Mirfakhraie R, Khani M, et al. Genetic variations in UGT2B28, UGT2B17, UGT2B15 genes and the risk of prostate cancer: a case-control study. Gene. 2017;634:47–52.
- [116] Amirghofran Z, Monabati A, Gholijani N. Androgen receptor expression in relation to apoptosis and the expression of cell cycle related proteins in prostate cancer. Pathol Oncol Res. 2004;10:37–41.
- Barnes HJ, Arlotto MP, Waterman MR. Expression and enzymatic activity of recombinant cytochrome P450 17 alpha-hydroxylase in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:5597–5601.
- [118] Carey AH, Waterworth D, Patel K, et al. Polycystic ovaries and premature male pattern baldness are associated with one allele of the steroid metabolism gene CYP17. Hum Mol Genet. 1994;3:1873–1876.
- [119] Karimpur-Zahmatkesh A, Farzaneh F, Pouresmaeili F, et al. A2 allele polymorphism of the CYP17 gene and prostate cancer risk in an iranian population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2013;14:1049–1052.
- [120] Ho SM, Leung YK, Chung I. Estrogens and antiestrogens as etiological factors and therapeutics for prostate cancer. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2006;1089:177–193.
- [121] Kuiper GG, Gustafsson JA. The novel estrogen receptor-beta subtype: potential role in the cell- and promoter-specific actions of estrogens and antiestrogens. FEBS letters. 1997;410:87–90.
- [122] Safarinejad MR, Safarinejad S, Shafiei N, et al. Estrogen receptors alpha (rs2234693 and rs9340799), and beta (rs4986938 and rs1256049) genes polymorphism in prostate cancer: evidence for association with risk and histopathological tumor characteristics in Iranian men. Mol Carcinog. 2012;51: E104–E117.
- [123] Reiter RE, Gu Z, Watabe T, et al. Prostate stem cell antigen: a cell surface marker overexpressed in prostate cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998;95: 1735–1740.
- [124] Saeki N, Gu J, Yoshida T, et al. Prostate stem cell antigen: a Jekyll and Hyde molecule? Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16:3533–3538.
- [125] Taeb J, Asgari M, Abolhasani M, et al. Expression of prostate stem cell antigen (PSCA) in prostate cancer: a tissue microarray study of Iranian patients. Pathol Res Pract. 2014;210:18–23.
- [126] Iranpour M, Soudyab M, Geranpayeh L, et al. Expression analysis of four long noncoding RNAs in breast cancer. Tumour Biol. 2016;37:2933–2940.

- [127] Xue B, He L. An expanding universe of the non-coding genome in cancer biology. Carcinogenesis. 2014; 35:1209–1216.
- [128] Kino T, Hurt DE, Ichijo T, et al. Noncoding RNA gas5 is a growth arrest- and starvation-associated repressor of the glucocorticoid receptor. Sci Signal. 2010;3: ra8.
- [129] Ma L, Bajic VB, Zhang Z. On the classification of long non-coding RNAs. RNA Biol. 2013;10:925–933.
- [130] Fatica A, Bozzoni I. Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15:7–21.
- [131] Grote P, Herrmann BG. The long non-coding RNA Fendrr links epigenetic control mechanisms to gene regulatory networks in mammalian embryogenesis. RNA Biol. 2013;10:1579–1585.
- [132] Li Z, Rana TM. Decoding the noncoding: prospective of IncRNA-mediated innate immune regulation. RNA Biol. 2014;11:979–985.
- [133] Zhang A, Zhao JC, Kim J, et al. LncRNA HOTAIR Enhances the Androgen-Receptor-Mediated Transcriptional Program and Drives Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. Cell Rep. 2015;13:209–221.
- [134] Taheri M, Habibi M, Noroozi R, et al. HOTAIR genetic variants are associated with prostate cancer and benign prostate hyperplasia in an Iranian population. Gene. 2017;613:20–24.
- [135] Dinger ME, Amaral PP, Mercer TR, et al. Long noncoding RNAs in mouse embryonic stem cell pluripotency and differentiation. Genome Res. 2008;18: 1433–1445.
- [136] Tsai MC, Manor O, Wan Y, et al. Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science. 2010;329:689–693.
- [137] Bayat H, Narouie B, Ziaee SM, et al. Two long noncoding RNAs, Prcat17.3 and Prcat38, could efficiently discriminate benign prostate hyperplasia from prostate cancer. Prostate. 2018;78:812–818.
- [138] Taheri M, Pouresmaeili F, Omrani MD, et al. Association of ANRIL gene polymorphisms with prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia in an Iranian population. Biomarkers Med. 2017;11: 413–422.
- [139] Yang L, Lin C, Jin C, et al. IncRNA-dependent mechanisms of androgen-receptor-regulated gene activation programs. Nature. 2013;500:598–602.
- [140] Sattarifard H, Hashemi M, Hassanzarei S, et al. Association between genetic polymorphisms of long non-coding RNA PRNCR1 and prostate cancer risk in a sample of the Iranian population. Mol Clin Onc. 2017;7:1152–1158.
- [141] Cai Y, Yu X, Hu S, et al. A brief review on the mechanisms of miRNA regulation. Genom Proteom Bioinfo. 2009;7:147–154.
- [142] Johnson SM, Grosshans H, Shingara J, et al. RAS is regulated by the let-7 microRNA family. Cell. 2005; 120:635–647.
- [143] Mayr C, Hemann MT, Bartel DP. Disrupting the pairing between let-7 and Hmga2 enhances oncogenic transformation. Science. 2007;315:1576–1579.

- [144] Saffari M, Ghaderian SMH, Omrani MD, et al. The Association of miR-let 7b and miR-548 with PTEN in Prostate Cancer. Urol J. 2019;16:267–273.
- [145] Houston SI, McManus KJ, Adams MM, et al. Catalytic function of the PR-Set7 histone H4 lysine 20 monomethyltransferase is essential for mitotic entry and genomic stability. J Biol Chem. 2008;283: 19478–19488.
- [146] Wu S, Wang W, Kong X, et al. Dynamic regulation of the PR-Set7 histone methyltransferase is required for normal cell cycle progression. Genes Dev. 2010;24: 2531–2542.
- [147] Beck DB, Oda H, Shen SS, et al. PR-Set7 and H4K20me1: at the crossroads of genome integrity, cell cycle, chromosome condensation, and transcription. Genes Dev. 2012;26:325–337.
- [148] Li Y, Sun L, Zhang Y, et al. The histone modifications governing TFF1 transcription mediated by estrogen receptor. J Biol Chem. 2011;286:13925–13936.
- [149] Shi X, Kachirskaia I, Yamaguchi H, et al. Modulation of p53 function by SET8-mediated methylation at lysine 382. Mol Cell. 2007;27:636–646.
- [150] Yang F, Sun L, Li Q, et al. SET8 promotes epithelialmesenchymal transition and confers TWIST dual transcriptional activities. EMBO J. 2012;31:110–123.
- [151] Narouie B, Ziaee SAM, Basiri A, et al. Functional polymorphism at the miR-502-binding site in the 3' untranslated region of the SETD8 gene increased the risk of prostate cancer in a sample of Iranian population. Gene. 2017;626:354–357.
- [152] Meng F, Henson R, Wehbe-Janek H, et al. MicroRNA-21 regulates expression of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene in human hepatocellular cancer. Gastroenterology. 2007;133:647–658.
- [153] Bonci D, Coppola V, Patrizii M, et al. A microRNA code for prostate cancer metastasis. Oncogene. 2016; 35:1180–1192.
- [154] Ghorbanmehr N, Gharbi S, Korsching E, et al. miR-21-5p, miR-141-3p, and miR-205-5p levels in urinepromising biomarkers for the identification of prostate and bladder cancer. Prostate. 2019;79:88–95.
- [155] Harrison DA. The Jak/STAT pathway. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012;4:a011205.
- [156] Wagner EF, Nebreda AR. Signal integration by JNK and p38 MAPK pathways in cancer development. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009;9:537–549.
- [157] Aghaee-Bakhtiari SH, Arefian E, Naderi M, et al. MAPK and JAK/STAT pathways targeted by miR-23a and miR-23b in prostate cancer: computational and in vitro approaches. Tumour Biol. 2015;36:4203–4212.
- [158] Wang J, Jia Z, Zhang C, et al. miR-499 protects cardiomyocytes from H 2O 2-induced apoptosis via its effects on Pdcd4 and Pacs2. RNA Biol. 2014;11: 339–350.
- [159] van den Bosch MW, Palsson-Mcdermott E, Johnson DS, et al. LPS induces the degradation of programmed cell death protein 4 (PDCD4) to release Twist2, activating c-Maf transcription to promote interleukin-10 production. J Biol Chem. 2014;289: 22980–22990.
- [160] Lo Furno D, Graziano AC, Caggia S, et al. Decrease of apoptosis markers during adipogenic differentiation

of mesenchymal stem cells from human adipose tissue. Apoptosis. 2013;18:578–588.

- [161] Wu J, Yue B, Lan X, et al. MiR-499 regulates myoblast proliferation and differentiation by targeting transforming growth factor β receptor 1. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234:2523–2536.
- [162] Hashemi M, Moradi N, Ziaee SA, et al. Association between single nucleotide polymorphism in miR-499, miR-196a2, miR-146a and miR-149 and prostate cancer risk in a sample of Iranian population. J Adv Res. 2016;7:491–498.
- [163] Wang C, Li L, Yin Z, et al. An indel polymorphism within pre-miR3131 confers risk for hepatocellular carcinoma. Carcinogenesis. 2017;38:168–176.
- [164] Hashemi M, Bahari G, Sattarifard H, et al. Evaluation of a 3-base pair indel polymorphism within premicroRNA-3131 in patients with prostate cancer using mismatch polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism. Mol Clin Oncol. 2017;7:696–700.
- [165] Martinez Y, Li X, Liu G, et al. The role of methionine on metabolism, oxidative stress, and diseases. Amino Acids. 2017;49:2091–2098.
- [166] Kim KC, Friso S, Choi SW. DNA methylation, an epigenetic mechanism connecting folate to healthy embryonic development and aging. J Nutr Biochem. 2009;20:917–926.
- [167] Ebrahimi A, Hosseinzadeh Colagar A, Karimian M. Association of human methionine synthase-A2756G transition with prostate cancer: a case-control study and in silico analysis. Acta Med Iran. 2017;55: 297–303.
- [168] Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R, et al. A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. Nat Genet. 1995;10:111–113.
- [169] Friso S, Choi SW. Gene-nutrient interactions in onecarbon metabolism. Curr Drug Metab. 2005;6:37–46.
- [170] Safarinejad MR, Shafiei N, Safarinejad S. Relationship between three polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR C677T, A1298C, and G1793A) gene and risk of prostate cancer: a casecontrol study. Prostate. 2010;70:1645–1657.
- [171] Moghbeli M, Moaven O, Dadkhah E, et al. High frequency of microsatellite instability in sporadic colorectal cancer patients in Iran. Genet Mol Res. 2011; 10:3520–3529.
- [172] Jafary F, Salehi M, Sedghi M, et al. Association between mismatch repair gene MSH3 codons 1036 and 222 polymorphisms and sporadic prostate cancer in the Iranian population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2012;13:6055–6057.
- [173] Davies MA, Koul D, Dhesi H, et al. Regulation of Akt/ PKB activity, cellular growth, and apoptosis in prostate carcinoma cells by MMAC/PTEN. Cancer Res. 1999;59:2551–2556.
- [174] Furnari FB, Huang HJ, Cavenee WK. The phosphoinositol phosphatase activity of PTEN mediates a serumsensitive G1 growth arrest in glioma cells. Cancer Res. 1998;58:5002–5008.
- [175] Persad S, Attwell S, Gray V, et al. Inhibition of integrin-linked kinase (ILK) suppresses activation of

protein kinase B/Akt and induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis of PTEN-mutant prostate cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000;97:3207–3212.

- [176] Pourmand G, Ziaee AA, Abedi AR, et al. Role of PTEN gene in progression of prostate cancer. Urol J. 2007; 4:95–100.
- [177] Ghafouri-Fard S, Modarressi MH. Cancer-testis antigens: potential targets for cancer immunotherapy. Arch Iran Med. 2009;12:395–404.
- [178] Parmigiani RB, Bettoni F, Grosso DM, et al. Antibodies against the cancer-testis antigen CTSP-1 are frequently found in prostate cancer patients and are an independent prognostic factor for biochemical-recurrence. Int J Cancer. 2008;122:2385–2390.
- [179] Donkor FF, Monnich M, Czirr E, et al. Outer dense fibre protein 2 (ODF2) is a self-interacting centrosomal protein with affinity for microtubules. J Cell Sci. 2004;117:4643–4651.
- [180] Ghafouri-Fard S, Ousati Ashtiani Z, Sabah Golian B, et al. Expression of two testis-specific genes, SPATA19 and LEMD1, in prostate cancer. Arch Med Res. 2010;41:195–200.
- [181] Blanco-Arias P, Sargent CA, Affara NA. The humanspecific Yp11.2/Xq21.3 homology block encodes a potentially functional testis-specific TGIF-like retroposon. Mamm Genome. 2002;13:463–468.
- [182] Mobini GR, Ghahremani MH, Amanpour S, et al. Transforming Growth Factor Beta-Induced Factor 2-Linked X (TGIF2LX) Regulates Two Morphogenesis Genes, Nir1 and Nir2 in Human Colorectal. Acta Med Iran. 2016;54:302–307.
- [183] Ousati Ashtiani Z, Ayati M, Modarresi MH, et al. Association of TGIFLX/Y mRNA expression with prostate cancer. Med Oncol. 2009;26:73–77.
- [184] Lanza F, Healy L, Sutherland DR. Structural and functional features of the CD34 antigen: an update. J Biol Regul Homeost Agents. 2001;15:1–13.
- [185] Sidney LE, Branch MJ, Dunphy SE, et al. Concise review: evidence for CD34 as a common marker for diverse progenitors. Stem Cells. 2014;32:1380–1389.
- [186] Edling CE, Hallberg B. c-Kit-a hematopoietic cell essential receptor tyrosine kinase. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2007;39:1995–1998.
- [187] Krams M, Parwaresch R, Sipos B, et al. Expression of the c-kit receptor characterizes a subset of neuroblastomas with favorable prognosis. Oncogene. 2004; 23:588–595.
- [188] Foroozan M, Roudi R, Abolhasani M, et al. Clinical significance of endothelial cell marker CD34 and mast cell marker CD117 in prostate adenocarcinoma. Pathol Res Pract. 2017;213:612–618.
- [189] Hood JD, Cheresh DA. Role of integrins in cell invasion and migration. Nat Rev Cancer. 2002;2:91–100.
- [190] Singh S, Singh UP, Grizzle WE, et al. CXCL12-CXCR4 interactions modulate prostate cancer cell migration, metalloproteinase expression and invasion. Lab Invest. 2004;84:1666–1676.
- [191] Teicher BA, Fricker SP. CXCL12 (SDF-1)/CXCR4 pathway in cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16:2927–2931.
- [192] Vandercappellen J, Van Damme J, Struyf S. The role of CXC chemokines and their receptors in cancer. Cancer Lett. 2008;267:226–244.

- [193] Dehghani M, Kianpour S, Zangeneh A, et al. CXCL12 modulates prostate cancer cell adhesion by altering the levels or activities of β 1-containing integrins. Int J Cell Biol. 2014;2014:981750.
- [194] Patrawala L, Calhoun-Davis T, Schneider-Broussard R, et al. Hierarchical organization of prostate cancer cells in xenograft tumors: the CD44 + alpha2beta1+ cell population is enriched in tumor-initiating cells. Cancer Res. 2007;67:6796–6805.
- [195] Mak AB, Pehar M, Nixon AM, et al. Post-translational regulation of CD133 by ATase1/ATase2-mediated lysine acetylation. J Mol Biol. 2014;426:2175–2182.
- [196] Kalantari E, Asgari M, Nikpanah S, et al. Co-expression of putative cancer stem cell markers CD44 and CD133 in prostate carcinomas. Pathol Oncol Res. 2017;23:793–802.
- [197] Corn PG, Smith BD, Ruckdeschel ES, et al. E-cadherin expression is silenced by 5' CpG island methylation in acute leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2000;6:4243–4248.
- [198] Tai KY, Shiah SG, Shieh YS, et al. DNA methylation and histone modification regulate silencing of epithelial cell adhesion molecule for tumor invasion and progression. Oncogene. 2007;26:3989–3997.
- [199] Mostafavi-Pour Z, Kianpour S, Dehghani M, et al. Methylation of Integrin α4 and E-cadherin genes in

human prostate cancer. Pathol Oncol Res. 2015;21: 921–927.

- [200] Hu Y, Li Z, Guo L, et al. MAGI-2 Inhibits cell migration and proliferation via PTEN in human hepatocarcinoma cells. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2007;467:1–9.
- [201] Engelman JA. Targeting PI3K signalling in cancer: opportunities, challenges and limitations. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009;9:550–562.
- [202] Tolkacheva T, Boddapati M, Sanfiz A, et al. Regulation of PTEN binding to MAGI-2 by two putative phosphorylation sites at threonine 382 and 383. Cancer Res. 2001;61:4985–4989.
- [203] Mahdian R, Nodouzi V, Asgari M, et al. Expression profile of MAGI2 gene as a novel biomarker in combination with major deregulated genes in prostate cancer. Mol Biol Rep. 2014;41:6125–6131.
- [204] Mohsenzadegan M, Shekarabi M, Madjd Z, et al. Study of NGEP expression pattern in cancerous tissues provides novel insights into prognostic marker in prostate cancer. Biomarkers Med. 2015;9:391–401.
- [205] Mohsenzadegan M, Madjd Z, Asgari M, et al. Reduced expression of NGEP is associated with highgrade prostate cancers: a tissue microarray analysis. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2013;62:1609–1618.