DOI: 10.1002/jcb.27672

PROSPECTS

The effects of fatty acids consumption on OPG/RANKL/ RANK system in cardiovascular diseases: Current status and future perspectives for the impact of diet‐gene interaction

Omid Reza Tamtaji^{1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2492-3996)} | Shokoofeh Borzabadi³ | Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan^{[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1081-6754)} | | Gordon Ferns⁵ | Zatollah Asemi⁶

¹Halal Research Center of IRI, FDA, Tehran, Iran

2 Physiology Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

³Department of Biology, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

4 Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

5 Brighton & Sussex Medical School, Division of Medical Education, Falmer, Brighton, Sussex, UK

6 Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

Correspondence

Zatollah Asemi, Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, PO Box: 8715988141, Kashan, Iran. Email: asemi_r@yahoo.com

Abstract

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is an overall term that comprises a number of related pathologies, these include peripheral arterial disease, cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease (CHD), venous thromboembolism, and rheumatic and congenital heart diseases. Fatty acids in the diet have been reported to affect CVD. The OPG/RANKL/RANK system appears to have a role in CVD outcomes. However, there have been few studies on the impact of dietgene interaction for effects of fatty acids consumption on the OPG/RANKL/ RANK system in CVD. This review focuses on the effects of fatty acids on OPG/ RANKL/RANK in CVD.

KEYWORDS

cardiovascular disease, fatty acids, osteoprotegerin

1 | INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a general term used for group of related pathologies that include cerebrovascular disease, coronary heart disease (CHD), peripheral arterial disease, venous thromboembolism, and rheumatic and congenital heart diseases. On global level, CVD accounts for 31% of mortality, most of which in the form of cerebrovascular accident and $CHD¹$ According to WHO estimations, more than 75% of premature CVD is preventable and the amelioration of risk factors can help decrease the growing CVD burden on providers and individuals.2 CVD prevention can reduce the rate of important cardiovascular outcomes, so can reduce premature disability and morbidity, on the other hand, can prolong survival and improve quality of life.³ Preservation of a healthy population has a potential role for comprehensible reduction of economic burden of CVD among asymptomatic patients because the main part of the costs was related to CVD medication use.⁴

The risk of incident stroke is 1.3 times higher in people working 55 hours or more per week than people

work standard hours.⁵ Blood pressure lowering decreases vascular risk significantly.⁶ Hypertriglyceridemia,⁷ obesity,⁸ and diabetes⁹ are CVD risk factors. The risk of CHD in diabetic females is 40% greater than diabetic males.¹⁰ It has also been reported that dietary risk factors contribute to 42.3% of cardiovascular deaths. 11 The American Heart Association recommends the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension eating pattern, which is rich in fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and low in sugar and saturated fat. It is known as a technique of lowering independent CVD risk factors (blood pressure and low‐density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, but it does not demonstrate a direct reduction in CVD risk.¹² The European Society of Cardiology recommends that fiber, fruit, vegetable, and fish intake should be increased, shifting to polyunsaturated fatty acids from saturated fatty acids, and avoiding alcohol and adhering to a Mediterranean style diet. These diets reduce CVD risk significantly.¹³ The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) give advises to reduce consumption of saturated fat, increase monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) intake, and consume five serving of vegetables and fruits each day. Two portions of fish and a high‐fiber diet each week are also recommended by NICE. They admit that there is not enough evidence to prove that these pieces of advice will affect directly on risk of CVD, but to some extent have benefits on other healthcare areas. It is clear that, most of the studies referenced in this study are from the pre‐1990s period. Dietary patterns in this period were considerably different, and almost all their data were concerning CVD risk. 14

The new update of the 2004 fatty acids and CVD review published by the Agency of Healthcare Research and Quality shows a relatively minor effect of marine oils on LDL‐cholesterol and high‐density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels, though a large, dose‐dependent effect on serum triglycerides levels.¹⁵ On the contrary, there are evidence demonstrating that α -linolenic acid (ALA) does not influence lipoprotein levels significantly. In addition, ALA and marine oils have not been promising to control blood pressure. 16 On the other hand, current evidence has shown the protective impacts of marine oil intake against the risk of CVD death and other adverse cardiovascular events, but there is insufficient data to demonstrate the relationship between higher marine oil intakes and lower risk of CHD and congestive heart failure. There is an inconsistency regarding the effect of marine oil intake or its association with many different CVD outcomes. Randomized clinical trials have evaluated only patients with known CVD, however, observational studies have generally evaluated healthy population without known CVD. There is always little risk of bias concerning published studies. On the other

hand, very few trials have completely evaluated the effects of omega-3 fatty acids $(n-3)$ FA), considering different populations with a variety of diet or other characteristics. Furthermore, few investigations have directly compared the various $n-3$ FA components, doses, ratios, or duration of intake. As a result, there is insufficient or limited evidence to answer most of the key questions of the review.15

The pathophysiology of CVD is multifactorial. Oxidative stress and inflammatory pathways have major roles in pathophysiology of CVD ^{17,18} In addition, osteoprotegerin (OPG) is an important factor in the pathophysiology of CVD.¹⁹ This system appears to play a pivotal role on the mortality and morbidity of CVD patients,²⁰ and OPG is identified as a predictor of CVD and mortality.²¹ Today, several studies have evaluated the effects of fatty acids including diet on OPG pathway in CVD. This review focuses on the effects of fatty acids on OPG/ RANKL/RANK system in CVD.

2 | OPG/RANKL/RANK SYSTEM

OPG is a cytokine receptor and a member of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family. It is a decoy receptor and is expressed by cells of the osteoblastic lineage in competition with receptor activator of nuclear factor‐κB (RANK) for receptor activator nuclear factor‐κB ligand (RANKL). OPG is encoded by TNFRSF11B. The genes encoding RANK and RANKL are TNFRSF11A and TNFSF11, respectively.¹⁹ Chung et al²² have shown a relationship between a polymorphism of the TNFRSF11B gene and the presence of coronary artery calcium in systemic lupus erythematosus patients.

It is also known that the GG genotype of the T245G (rs3134069) polymorphism, the CC genotype of the T950C (rs2073617) polymorphism, and the CC genotype of the G1181C (rs2073618) polymorphism are significantly more prevalent in patients with carotid plaque than in control groups and these polymorphisms are related to high serum OPG levels.23 OPG gene polymorphisms are synergistically effective in peripheral arterial occlusive disease patients and linked with different levels of risk factors for peripheral arterial occlusive disease and critical limb ischemia, and depend on the number of high‐risk genotypes carried concomitantly by a given individual. 24 The OPG gene polymorphisms (T245G, T950C, and G1181C) are significantly and independently related to a history of ischemic stroke. They are synergistically effective in patients with history of stroke. The TNFRSF11B gene polymorphisms was related to the ischemic stroke history and synergistic effects between these genotypes might be potential markers for cerebrovascular disorders.25 An OPG gene polymorphism at position 950 was related to ACS and CAD in Japanese men, and might enhance the CAD development, particularly ACS.26 The polymorphism at position 950 in the OPG gene linked with cardiovascular morphology and function in healthy people.²⁷ The RANKL gene rs9594782 polymorphism was associated with aortic calcification in Korean women. This study also showed that the rs2277438 polymorphism was closely related to urine deoxypyridinoline levels, a bone resorption marker, which suggested its role on vascular calcification and bone metabolism in individuals.²⁸ Another study showed that non‐TT genotype of the rs9594782 RANKL single‐nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) was an independent genetic risk factor for the development of ACS.²⁹ Singh et al³⁰ reported that OPG rs2073618, RANK rs75404003, and RANKL rs9594782 SNPs may put thalassemia patients at risk of left ventricular hypertrophy.

3 | OPG/RANKL/RANK SYSTEM AND CVD OUTCOMES

The relationship between OPG and a number of risk factors of CVD, such as age, smoking, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, and prevalent CVD was positive. However, there was no association between serum RANKL concentrations and multiple risk factors of CVD, including smoking, diabetes, and antihypertensive treatment. 31 Serum OPG levels was positively associated with serum homocysteine serum levels and negatively associated with serum triglycerides. It was not associated with the body mass index or any other lipid metabolism parameters including total‐, LDL‐ or HDL‐cholesterol, cholesterol, Apo AI and Apo B, or lipoprotein (a) and serum levels of creatinine. Serum levels of OPG had tendency to be higher in hypertensive patients in comparison with normotensive patients.32 It has been reported that OPG was evidently a noticeable atherosclerosis biomarker, which is independently associated with atherosclerosis traditional risk factors, clinical atherosclerotic disease, such as ischemic stroke and ischemic heart disease (IHD), and subclinical peripheral atherosclerosis.³³ This system has a pivotal in mortality and morbidity of CVD patients, 20 and is known as a predictor of CVD and mortality.²¹

According to different biomarkers studies, both subclinical disease and near-term cardiovascular events were only predicted by OPG.³⁴ OPG independently predicts the combined end‐point of hospitalization of IHD, ischemic stroke and all-cause mortality.³⁵ Jono et al³⁶ showed that serum OPG levels were much more in patients with clinically significant stenosis of the coronary arteries than in those without. The severity and 10‐year progression of carotid atherosclerosis was significantly associated with OPG. In addition, an

 $\frac{1}{2}$ TAMTAJI ET AL. $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{$

independent risk factor for incident CVD and vascular mortality was a high level of OPG.³⁷ Increased hazards of incident CVD and mortality were related to OPG. OPG quartiles nonsignificantly increased the prevalence of coronary artery calcification. But there is no association between coronary artery calcification or incident CVD or mortality with RANKL concentrations.³¹ The increased probability of this suggested that OPG may be a reason or a marker for vascular calcification depends on the involvement of serum OPG levels with diabetes and cardiovascular mortality.³⁸ A study in type 2 diabetic patients showed that there was an independent relationship between serum OPG and asymptomatic CAD.³⁹

A 12‐year follow‐up cohort study showed that future myocardial infarction (MI) risk, total mortality, mortality of IHD, ischemic stroke, stroke and of nonvascular causes independent of traditional cardiovascular risk factors were significantly related to serum OPG.⁴⁰ The increase of plasma OPG concentrations was reported in both in the normoalbuminuric and the nephropathic patients with CVD. Consequently, the development of diabetic vascular complications and OPG are related. 41 A cohort study revealed a strong association between the baseline OPG concentration and increased long‐term mortality and heart failure hospitalizations and not related to stroke.⁴² Abedin et $al⁴³$ reported an independent association among plasma OPG, coronary artery calcium, and aortic plaque in an unselected population, which may be a new biomarker for atherosclerosis in human beings. According to Sandberg et al⁴⁴ studies, two important feature of unstable disease are increased expression of the OPG/RANKL/RANK system in clinical and experimental atherosclerosis, and increased RANKL expression in T cell. Another study on congestive heart failure patients showed that, OPG was upregulated in symptomatic human carotid atherosclerosis with possible implications for plaque stability.⁴⁵ OPG concentrations were increased in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients and related to inflammation. In RA patients, OPG is also related to coronary artery calcification independently of cardiovascular risk factors and disease activity.⁴⁶ Furthermore, Dessein et al⁴⁷ reported that endothelial activation and carotid atherosclerosis in RA was independently related to OPG concentrations. OPG inhibited advanced plaque progression by preventing an increase in lesion size and calcification. OPG also works as a survival factor and may modulate production of MMP9 in vascular cells.⁴⁸ Giaginis et $al⁴⁹$ reported that relation of OPG plasma concentrations with risk factors, medical history, and medication intake supported evidence for the potential clinical implication of OPG in carotid atherosclerosis, whereas the clinical utility of RANKL seems uncertain.

OPG protects against atherosclerotic calcification and may be a marker demonstrating the onset of atherosclerosis.50 Moreover, RANKL is correlated with the number of atherosclerotic plaque lesions.⁵⁰ RANKL significantly increases the activity of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) in vascular smooth muscle cells in people with CVD.50 The RANKL may activate MMP through the activation of mitogen‐activated protein kinases and AP-1.^{51,54} Overexpression of activated MMP may promote destabilization and complication of atherosclerotic plaques.50 In another pathway, RANK activates TNF receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) and TRAF6. $55,56$ Then, NF‐κB translocate to nucleus leading to the activation of smooth muscle cell gene and osteoblast gene. This pathway also have important role in calcification of vessels. 57 However, OPG inhibits RANK and RANKL⁵⁸ (Figure 1).

4 | FATTY ACID AND CVD **OUTCOMES**

Fatty acids normally have an even number of carbon atoms from 16 to 26. Fatty acids that have a single bond between adjacent carbon atoms are named "saturated"; those with at least one double bond are called "unsaturated." In chemistry, MUFA are classified as fatty acids containing a single double bond in contrast to polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA),

FIGURE 1 Schematic representation of targeting OPG/RANKL/RANK signaling pathway using fatty acids and the prevention of atherosclerosis. AP‐1, activator protein‐1; MAPK, mitogen‐activated protein kinase; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; NF‐κb, nuclear factor‐κB; OPG, osteoprotegerin; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; RANK: receptor activator of nuclear factor‐κB; RANKL, receptor activator nuclear factor‐κB ligand; SMC, smooth muscle cell; TRAF, TNF receptor‐associated factor

which have two or more double bonds but saturated fatty acids (SFA) do not have double bonds.^{59,60} In prospective observational studies and adequately powered randomized controlled trials, $n-3$ PUFA seems to be helpful in reducing CHD mortality and sudden cardiac death. Other cardiovascular outcomes such as conflicting evidence from observational studies and/or randomized trials for effects on nonfatal myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, atrial fibrillation, recurrent ventricular arrhythmias, and heart failure are less effective.⁶¹ The outcome of different studies on omega-3 long-chain PUFAS (n-3 PUFAS; fish oil) on CVDs indicate that in secondary prevention, fish oil significantly decreased all‐cause mortality, MI, cardiac arrest and sudden death, or stroke.¹⁶ According to a cohort study, fish and dietary $n-3$ PUFA intakes generally have an inverse effect on the increase mortality risks of IHD, MI, heart failure, and total CVD. In $n-3$ PUFA intake and heart failure, these inverse correlations were more noticeable⁶² (Figure 2).

It has been reported that individuals taking $n-3$ FA show a significant reduction in the risk of sudden cardiac death and a reduction in all‐cause mortality, regardless of using β‐blockers and lipid‐lowering therapy as secondary prevention drugs. The $n-3$ PUFAs decrease blood viscosity and pressure, and modulate membrane fluidity as well as associated enzyme and receptor functions. The general effects of $n-3$ PUFAs reduce CAD in populations with $n-3$ PUFAs consumption.⁶³ Johansen and his colleagues revealed hemostatic markers of atherosclerosis are decrease by $n-3$ FA supplementation.⁶⁴ In contrast, a meta-analysis indicated that $n-3$ PUFA intake was not effective in lowering the all‐cause mortality risk and other negative outcome in $CVD⁶⁵$ (Figure 2).

MUFA supplementation improves the CVD risk factors. MUFA improves healthy blood-lipid profiles, promotes insulin sensitivity, regulates glucose levels and mediates blood pressure.⁶⁶ In another study also reported the benefits of MUFA taking on $CHD⁶⁷$ The effect of MUFAs on decreasing plaque size, collagen and prevent atherosclerotic events in mice with MetS is more than those of SFAs.⁶⁸ SFAs intake leads to increased levels of LDL‐cholesterol, total cholesterol/HDL‐cholesterol ratio, and increased CHD events.⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ However, replacement of SFAs with PUFAs or MUFAs can be effective in decreased lipid profiles and CVD risk⁷² (Figure 2).

5 | FATTY ACID AND OPG/RANKL/RANK SYSTEM IN CVD

There are few studies reporting the effects of fatty acids on OPG/RANKL/RANK system in different diseases.^{73,74} The PUFAs downregulated TNFRSF11B during gestation

FIGURE 2 Schematic representation of effects of fatty acids on CVD outcomes. CVD, cardiovascular disease; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MI, myocardial infarction; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA, saturated fatty acids

and suckling in rats.⁷⁵ Another study reported the beneficial effects of $n-3$ PUFAs on TNFRSF11B gene expression in hypercholesterolaemic rabbits.⁷⁶ Few studies have estimated the impact of diet‐gene interaction on the effects of fatty acids consumption on OPG/ RANKL/RANK system in CVD. N‐3 PUFAs could be effective in prevention of the metabolic syndrome or to the antiarrhythmic effect due to direct effects on different genes including TNFRSF11B.⁷⁷ N-3 PUFAs suppressed vascular calcification and Tnfsf11 (RANKL) expression in animal model of abdominal aortic aneurysm.⁷⁸ Fonolla-Joya and colleagues revealed that administration of $n-3$ PUFAs led to decreased RANKL serum levels in postmenopausal women with moderate cardiovascular risk.⁷⁹ Naranjo et al showed that in comparison with dietary MUFAs (from olive oil) prevented atherosclerosis by getting involved in vascular calcification and the use of RANKL/RANK/OPG system in the setting of metabolic syndrome.⁸⁰

6 | CONCLUSIONS

Current evidence shows that the therapeutic effects of fatty acids in CVD may have the beneficial effects on gene expression related to metabolic profiles. OPG/ RANKL/RANK system has been introduced as a critical system in CVD. Few studies have investigated the impact of diet‐gene interaction for effects of fatty acids consumption on OPG/RANKL/RANK system in CVD.

Furthermore, extensive studies including clinical trials and cohort study are needed to evaluate the diet‐gene interaction for effects of fatty acids consumption on OPG/ RANKL/RANK system in CVD.

ORCID

Omid Reza Tamtaji i [http://orcid.org/0000-0003-](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2492-3996) [2492-3996](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2492-3996) Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan D[http://orcid.org/0000-0002-](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1081-6754) [1081-6754](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1081-6754)

REFERENCES

- 1. WHO. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), 2016. Available at: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/>. Accessed October 10, 2016.
- 2. WHO. The challenge of cardiovascular disease ‐ quick statistics, 2016. Available at: [http://www.euro.who.int/en/health](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases/cardiovascular-diseases/data-and-statistics)‐topics/ noncommunicable‐[diseases/cardiovascular](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases/cardiovascular-diseases/data-and-statistics)‐diseases/data‐and‐ [statistics.](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases/cardiovascular-diseases/data-and-statistics) Accessed October 10, 2016.
- 3. Stewart J, Manmathan G, Wilkinson P. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: A review of contemporary guidance and literature. JRSM Cardiovasc Dis. 2017;6:2048004016687211.
- 4. Shaw LJ, Goyal A, Mehta C, et al. 10‐Year Resource Utilization and Costs for Cardiovascular Care. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018; 71(10):1078‐1089.
- 5. Kivimäki M, Jokela M, Nyberg ST, et al. Long working hours and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: a systematic review and meta‐analysis of published and unpublished data for 603 838 individuals. Lancet. 2015;386(10005):1739‐1746.

6 | WILEY-Journal of Cellular Biochemistry TAMTAJI ET AL.

- 6. Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, et al. Blood pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: a systematic review and meta‐analysis. Lancet. 2016;387(10022):957‐967.
- 7. Austin MA, Hokanson JE, Edwards KL. Hypertriglyceridemia as a cardiovascular risk factor. Am J Cardiol. 1998;81(4): 7B‐12B.
- 8. Hubert HB, Feinleib M, McNamara PM, Castelli WP. Obesity as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: a 26‐year follow‐up of participants in the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 1983;67(5):968‐977.
- 9. Kannel W, McGee D. Diabetes and glucose tolerance as risk factors for cardiovascular disease: the Framingham study. Diabetes care. 1979;2(2):120‐126.
- 10. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Diabetes as risk factor for incident coronary heart disease in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta‐analysis of 64 cohorts including 858,507 individuals and 28,203 coronary events. Diabetologia. 2014;57(8):1542‐1551.
- 11. Melaku YA, Renzaho A, Gill TK, et al. Burden and trend of diet-related non-communicable diseases in Australia and comparison with 34 OECD countries, 1990‐2015: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 [published online ahead of print March 7, 2018]. Eur J Nutr. doi: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-018-1656-7) [10.1007/s00394](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-018-1656-7)‐018‐1656‐7
- 12. Eckel RH, Jakicic JM, Ard JD, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC guideline on lifestyle management to reduce cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(pt 25 B):2960‐2984.
- 13. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. Guidelines: Editor's choice: 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur Heart J. 2016;37(29):2315.
- 14. Cooper A, O'flynn N. Guidelines: risk assessment and lipid modification for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: summary of NICE guidance. BMJ. 2008;336 (7655):1246.
- 15. Balk EM, Lichtenstein AH. Omega‐3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: Summary of the 2016 Agency of Healthcare Research and Quality evidence review. Nutrients. 2017; 9(8):865.
- 16. Wang C, Harris WS, Chung M, et al. n‐3 Fatty acids from fish or fish-oil supplements, but not α -linolenic acid, benefit cardiovascular disease outcomes in primary‐and secondary‐prevention studies: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;84(1): 5‐17.
- 17. Higashi Y, Noma K, Yoshizumi M, Kihara Y. Endothelial function and oxidative stress in cardiovascular diseases. Circ J. 2009;73(3):411‐418.
- 18. Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Buring JE, Rifai N. C‐reactive protein and other markers of inflammation in the prediction of cardiovascular disease in women. New Engl J Med. 2000; 342(12):836‐843.
- 19. Silva I, Branco J. Rank/Rankl/opg: literature review. Acta Reumatológica Portuguesa. 2011;36(3):209‐218.
- 20. Malliga D‐E, Wagner D, Fahrleitner‐Pammer A. The role of osteoprotegerin (OPG) receptor activator for nuclear factor kappaB ligand (RANKL) in cardiovascular pathology ‐a review. Wien Med Wochenschr. 2011;161(23‐24):565‐570.
- 21. Nybo M, Rasmussen LM. The capability of plasma osteoprotegerin as a predictor of cardiovascular disease: a systematic literature review. Eur J Endocrinol. 2008;159(5):603‐608.
- 22. Chung CP, Solus JF, Oeser A, et al. Genetic variation and coronary atherosclerosis in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus. 2014;23(9):876‐880.
- 23. Straface G, Biscetti F, Pitocco D, et al. Assessment of the genetic effects of polymorphisms in the osteoprotegerin gene, TNFRSF11B, on serum osteoprotegerin levels and carotid plaque vulnerability. Stroke. 2011;42(11):3022‐3028.
- 24. Biscetti F, Porreca CF, Bertucci F, et al. TNFRSF11B gene polymorphisms increased risk of peripheral arterial occlusive disease and critical limb ischemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. Acta Diabetol. 2014;51(6):1025‐1032.
- 25. Biscetti F, Straface G, Giovannini S, et al. Association between TNFRSF11B gene polymorphisms and history of ischemic stroke in Italian diabetic patients. Hum Genet. 2013;132(1): 49‐55.
- 26. Ohmori R, Momiyama Y, Taniguchi H, et al. Association between osteoprotegerin gene polymorphism and coronary artery disease in Japanese men. Atherosclerosis. 2006;187(1): 215‐217.
- 27. Brändström H, Gerdhem P, Stiger F, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the human gene for osteoprotegerin are not related to bone mineral density or fracture in elderly women. Calcif Tissue Int. 2004;74(1):18‐24.
- 28. Rhee E‐J, Yun E‐J, Oh K‐W, et al. The relationship between Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor‐κB Ligand (RANKL) gene polymorphism and aortic calcification in Korean women. Endocr J. 2010;57(6):541‐549.
- 29. Choe W‐S, Kim H‐L, Han J‐K, et al. Association between OPG, RANK and RANKL gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to acute coronary syndrome in Korean population. J Genet. 2012;91(1):87‐89.
- 30. Singh MM, Kumar R, Tewari S, Agarwal S. Investigation of OPG/RANK/RANKL Genes as a Genetic Marker for Cardiac abnormalities in Thalassemia Major Patients. An Hum Genet. 2017;81(3):117‐124.
- 31. Lieb W, Gona P, Larson MG, et al. Biomarkers of the osteoprotegerin pathway: clinical correlates, subclinical disease, incident cardiovascular disease, and mortality. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2010;30(9):1849‐1854.
- 32. Schoppet M, Sattler AM, Schaefer JR, Herzum M, Maisch B, Hofbauer LC. Increased osteoprotegerin serum levels in men with coronary artery disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003; 88(3):1024‐1028.
- 33. Mogelvang R, Pedersen SH, Flyvbjerg A, et al. Comparison of osteoprotegerin to traditional atherosclerotic risk factors and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein for diagnosis of atherosclerosis. Am J Cardiol. 2012;109(4):515‐520.
- 34. Anand DV, Lahiri A, Lim E, Hopkins D, Corder R. The relationship between plasma osteoprotegerin levels and

coronary artery calcification in uncomplicated type 2 diabetic subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;47(9):1850‐1857.

- 35. Mogelvang R, Haahr‐Pedersen S, Bjerre M, et al. Osteoprotegerin improves risk detection by traditional cardiovascular risk factors and hsCRP. In: Heart 2012. Heart. 2012‐302240.
- 36. Jono S, Ikari Y, Shioi A, et al. Serum osteoprotegerin levels are associated with the presence and severity of coronary artery disease. Circulation. 2002;106(10):1192‐1194.
- 37. Kiechl S, Schett G, Wenning G, et al. Osteoprotegerin is a risk factor for progressive atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2004;109(18):2175‐2180.
- 38. Browner WS, Lui L‐Y, Cummings SR. Associations of serum osteoprotegerin levels with diabetes, stroke, bone density, fractures, and mortality in elderly women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86(2):631‐637.
- 39. Avignon A, Sultan A, Piot C, Elaerts S, Cristol JP, Dupuy AM. Osteoprotegerin is associated with silent coronary artery disease in high‐risk but asymptomatic type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care. 2005;28(9):2176‐2180.
- 40. Vik A, Mathiesen E, Brox J, et al. Serum osteoprotegerin is a predictor for incident cardiovascular disease and mortality in a general population: the Tromsø Study. J Thromb Haemost. 2011;9(4):638‐644.
- 41. Rasmussen LM, Tarnow L, Hansen TK, Parving H‐H, Flyvbjerg A. Plasma osteoprotegerin levels are associated with glycaemic status, systolic blood pressure, kidney function and cardiovascular morbidity in type 1 diabetic patients. Eur J Endocrino. 2006;154(1):75‐81.
- 42. Omland T, Ueland T, Jansson AM, et al. Circulating osteoprotegerin levels and long‐term prognosis in patients with acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51(6): 627‐633.
- 43. Abedin M, Omland T, Ueland T, et al. Relation of osteoprotegerin to coronary calcium and aortic plaque (from the Dallas Heart Study). Am J Cardiol. 2007;99(4):513‐518.
- 44. Sandberg WJ, Yndestad A, Øie E, et al. Enhanced T‐cell expression of RANK ligand in acute coronary syndrome: possible role in plaque destabilization. Arteriosclerosis, Throm Vasc Biol. 2006;26(4):857‐863.
- 45. Golledge J, McCann M, Mangan S, Lam A, Karan M. Osteoprotegerin and osteopontin are expressed at high concentrations within symptomatic carotid atherosclerosis. Stroke. 2004;35(7):1636‐1641.
- 46. Asanuma Y, Chung CP, Oeser A, et al. Serum osteoprotegerin is increased and independently associated with coronary‐artery atherosclerosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Atherosclerosis. 2007;195(2):e135‐e141.
- 47. Dessein PH, López‐Mejias R, González‐Juanatey C, et al. Independent relationship of osteoprotegerin concentrations with endothelial activation and carotid atherosclerosis in patients with severe rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2014;41(3):429‐436.
- 48. Bennett BJ, Scatena M, Kirk EA, et al. Osteoprotegerin inactivation accelerates advanced atherosclerotic lesion progression and calcification in older ApoE−/− mice. Arteriosclerosis Thromb Vasc Biol. 2006;26(9):2117‐2124.
- 49. Giaginis C, Papadopouli A, Zira A, Katsargyris A, Klonaris C, Theocharis S. Correlation of plasma osteoprotegerin (OPG) and receptor activator of the nuclear factor κB ligand (RANKL)

TAMTAJI ET AL. **AL. 1998 - PARTAJI ET AL.** Journal of **Cellular Biochemistry** - WILEY 2009

levels with clinical risk factors in patients with advanced carotid atherosclerosis. Med Sci Monit. 2012;18(10):CR597.

- 50. Venuraju SM, Yerramasu A, Corder R, Lahiri A. Osteoprotegerin as a predictor of coronary artery disease and cardiovascular mortality and morbidity. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55(19): 2049‐2061.
- 51. Osta B, Benedetti G, Miossec P. Classical and paradoxical effects of TNF-α on bone homeostasis. Front Immunol. 2014;5:48.
- 52. Benbow U, Brinckerhoff CE. The AP‐1 site and MMP gene regulation: what is all the fuss about? Matrix Biol. 1997; 15(8‐9):519‐526.
- 53. Luo XH, Guo LJ, Xie H, et al. Adiponectin stimulates RANKL and inhibits OPG expression in human osteoblasts through the MAPK signaling pathway. J Bone Miner Res. 2006;21(10): 1648‐1656.
- 54. Reunanen N, Li S‐P, Ahonen M, Foschi M, Han J, Kähäri V‐M. Activation of p38α MAPK enhances collagenase‐1 (matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)‐1) and stromelysin‐1 (MMP‐3) expression by mRNA stabilization. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(35): 32360‐32368.
- 55. Darnay BG, Ni J, Moore PA, Aggarwal BB. Activation of NF‐κB by RANK requires tumor necrosis factor receptor‐associated factor (TRAF) 6 and NF‐κB‐inducing kinase identification of a novel TRAF6 interaction Motif. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(12): 7724‐7731.
- 56. Armstrong AP, Tometsko ME, Glaccum M, Sutherland CL, Cosman D, Dougall WC. A RANK/TRAF6‐dependent signal transduction pathway is essential for osteoclast cytoskeletal organization and resorptive function. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(46):44347‐44356.
- 57. Ndip A, Williams A, Jude EB, et al. The RANKL/RANK/OPG signaling pathway mediates medial arterial calcification in diabetic Charcot neuroarthropathy. Diabetes. 2011;60(8): 2187‐2196.
- 58. Kondegowda NG, Fenutria R, Pollack IR, et al. Osteoprotegerin and denosumab stimulate human beta cell proliferation through inhibition of the receptor activator of NF‐κB ligand pathway. Cell Metab. 2015;22(1):77‐85.
- 59. Ruxton C, Reed SC, Simpson M, Millington K. The health benefits of omega‐3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: a review of the evidence. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2004;17(5): 449‐459.
- 60. Budowski P. ω3‐Fatty acids in health and disease. Aspects of Human Nutrition. 1988;57:214‐274. Karger Publishers.
- 61. Mozaffarian D, Wu JH. Omega‐3 fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: effects on risk factors, molecular pathways, and clinical events. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;58(20):2047‐2067.
- 62. Yamagishi K, Iso H, Date C, et al. Fish, ω‐3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, and mortality from cardiovascular diseases in a nationwide community‐based cohort of Japanese men and women: The JACC (Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer Risk) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008; 52(12):988‐996.
- 63. Kinsella JE, Lokesh B, Stone RA. Dietary n‐3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and amelioration of cardiovascular disease: possible mechanisms. Am J Clin Nutr. 1990;52(1):1‐28.
- 64. Johansen O, Seljeflot I, Høstmark AT, Arnesen H. The effect of supplementation with omega-3 fatty acids on soluble markers

8 | WILEY-Journal of Cellular Biochemistry **Access 2016** TAMTAJI ET AL.

of endothelial function in patients with coronary heart disease. Arteriosclerosis Thromb Vvasc Biol. 1999;19(7):1681‐1686.

- 65. Rizos EC, Ntzani EE, Bika E, Kostapanos MS, Elisaf MS. Association between omega‐3 fatty acid supplementation and risk of major cardiovascular disease events: a systematic review and meta‐analysis. Jama. 2012;308(10):1024‐1033.
- 66. Gillingham LG, Harris‐Janz S, Jones PJ. Dietary monounsaturated fatty acids are protective against metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease risk factors. Lipids. 2011;46(3):209‐228.
- 67. Zong G, Li Y, Sampson L, et al. Monounsaturated fats from plant and animal sources in relation to risk of coronary heart disease among US men and women. Am J Clin Nutr. 2018; 107(3):445‐453.
- 68. Montserrat‐de la Paz S, Naranjo MC, Lopez S, Abia R, Muriana FJ, Bermudez B. Olive oil, compared to a saturated dietary fat, has a protective role on atherosclerosis in niacin-treated mice with metabolic syndrome. J Fun Foods. 2016;26:557‐564.
- 69. Siri‐Tarino PW, Sun Q, Hu FB, Krauss RM. Saturated fat, carbohydrate, and cardiovascular disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;91(3):502‐509.
- 70. Krauss RM. Lipoprotein subfractions and cardiovascular disease risk. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2010;21(4):305‐311.
- 71. Mozaffarian D, Micha R, Wallace S. Effects on coronary heart disease of increasing polyunsaturated fat in place of saturated fat: a systematic review and meta‐analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLoS Med. 2010;7(3):e1000252.
- 72. Siri‐Tarino PW, Sun Q, Hu FB, Krauss RM. Saturated fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease: modulation by replacement nutrients. Curr Atheroscler Rep. 2010;12(6): 384‐390.
- 73. El‐Sharkawy H, Aboelsaad N, Eliwa M, et al. Adjunctive treatment of chronic periodontitis with daily dietary supplementation with omega‐3 Fatty acids and low‐dose aspirin. J Periodontol. 2010;81(11):1635‐1643.
- 74. Coetzee M, Haag M, Kruger MC. Effects of arachidonic acid, docosahexaenoic acid, prostaglandin E2 and parathyroid hormone on osteoprotegerin and RANKL secretion by

MC3T3‐E1 osteoblast‐like cells. J Nutr Biochem. 2007;18(1): 54‐63.

- 75. Selga E, Pérez‐Cano FJ, Franch À, et al. Gene expression profiles in rat mesenteric lymph nodes upon supplementation with Conjugated Linoleic Acid during gestation and suckling. BMC Genomics. 2011;12(1):182.
- 76. Gladine C, Roy NC, Rigaudiere J‐P, et al. Increasing intake of long‐chain n‐3 PUFA enhances lipoperoxidation and modulates hepatic gene expression in a dose‐dependent manner. Br J Nutr. 2012;107(9):1254‐1273.
- 77. Bordoni A, Astolfi A, Morandi L, et al. N−3 PUFAs modulate global gene expression profile in cultured rat cardiomyocytes. Implications in cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. FEBS letters. 2007;581(5):923‐929.
- 78. Wang JH, Eguchi K, Matsumoto S, et al. The ω‐3 polyunsaturated fatty acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, attenuates abdominal aortic aneurysm development via suppression of tissue remodeling. PLoS One. 2014;9(5):e96286.
- 79. Fonolla‐Joya J, Reyes‐García R, García‐Martín A, López‐ Huertas E, Muñoz‐Torres M. Daily intake of milk enriched with n‐3 fatty acids, oleic acid, and calcium improves metabolic and bone biomarkers in postmenopausal women. J Am Coll Nutr. 2016;35(6):529‐536.
- 80. Naranjo MC, Bermudez B, Garcia I, et al. Dietary fatty acids on aortic root calcification in mice with metabolic syndrome. Food Funct. 2017;8(4):1468‐1474.

How to cite this article: Tamtaji OR, Borzabadi S, Ghayour‐Mobarhan M, Ferns G, Asemi Z. The effects of fatty acids consumption on OPG/ RANKL/RANK system in cardiovascular diseases: Current status and future perspectives for the impact of diet‐gene interaction. J Cell Biochem. 2018;1‐8. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.27672>