The Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation on Biomarkers of Inflammation and Oxidative Stress in Diabetic Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Authors

Mohammad Ali Mansournia¹, Vahidreza Ostadmohammadi², Amin Doosti-Irani^{3, 4}, Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan⁵, Gordon Ferns⁶, Hossein Akbari⁷, Amir Ghaderi⁸, Hamid Reza Talari⁹, Zatollah Asemi²

Affiliations

- 1 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
- 2 Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran
- 3 Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran
- 4 Modeling of Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran
- 5 Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 6 Brighton & Sussex Medical School, Division of Medical Education, Falmer, Brighton, Sussex, UK
- 7 Department of Biostatistics, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran
- 8 Department of Addiction Studies, School of Medical, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran
- 9 Department of Radiology, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

Keywords

vitamin D supplementation, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress, meta-analysis

received 28.02.2018 accepted 02.05.2018

Bibliography

DOI https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0630-1303 Horm Metab Res 2018; 50: 429–440 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart - New York ISSN 0018-5043

Correspondence

Zatollah Asemi Research Center for Biochemistry and Nutrition in Metabolic Diseases Kashan University of Medical Sciences Kashan Iran Tel.: +98 31 55463378, Fax: +98 31 55463377 asemi_r@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

In this systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), the effects of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress in diabetic patients are summarized. The following databases were searched up to December 2017: MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. The quality of the relevant extracted data was assessed according to the Cochrane risk of bias tool. Data were pooled using the inverse variance method and expressed as mean difference with 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI). Heterogeneity between studies was assessed by the Cochran Q statistic and I-squared tests (I²). Overall, 33 studies were included in the meta-analyses. Vitamin D supplementation were found to significantly reduce serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) (WMD 0.27; 95% CI, -0.35, -0.20; p<0.001) and malondialdehyde (MDA) levels (WMD -0.43, 95% CI -0.62, -0.25, p<0.001) in diabetic patients. In addition, vitamin D supplementation were found to increase markers of nitric oxide (NO) release (WMD 4.33, 95% CI 0.96, 7.70), total serum antioxidant capacity (TAC) (WMD 57.34, 95% CI 33.48, 81.20, p<0.001) and total glutathione (GSH) levels (WMD 82.59, 95% CI 44.37, 120.81, p < 0.001). Overall, this meta-analysis shows that in diabetic patients, taking vitamin D had significant effects on hs-CRP and MDA levels, and significantly increased NO, TAC and GSH levels.

Abbreviations

GSHTotal glutathionehs-CRPHigh-sensitivity C-reactive protein

MDA Malondialdehyde NO Nitric oxide TAC Total antioxidant capacity

Mansournia MA et al. Vitamin D and Diabetes ... Horm Metab Res 2018; 50: 429-440

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both, and is associated with long-term damage, and failure of several organs, including the kidney, nerves, heart, and blood vessels [1]. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) projections of the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and prediabetes are expected to reach 592 million individuals globally and 471 million by 2035, respectively [2]. Several studies have documented that a decrease in antioxidative levels and an elevation in anti-inflammatory and oxidative stress biomarkers might be involved in the pathophysiology of cognitive disorder associated with diabetes [3, 4] and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [5].

Several studies have demonstrated that vitamin D intake may reduce the inflammatory response and oxidative stress [6, 7]. Therefore, hypovitaminosis D has been suggested to contribute to various metabolic-related conditions including insulin resistance [8], diabetes [9], and CVD [10]. Furthermore, biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress have been shown to be high in people with low vitamin D 25(OH)D levels; however, the reports have been inconsistent [11, 12]. In a previous meta-analysis, vitamin D administration was found to be beneficial for the reduction of circulating high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) levels [13]. However, in another meta-analysis study conducted in the obese and overweight people, supplementation with vitamin D did not have a significant impact on changes in selected inflammatory biomarkers levels [14]. Recently, a number of clinical trials evaluating vitamin D administration on different populations have been performed to determine if circulating levels of inflammatory markers and biomarkers of oxidative stress are affected among diabetic patients [15-18]. However, the sample size of these trials was small, the quality of the studies was variable, and the results were inconsistent.

Despite several randomized controlled trials (RCTs), we are aware of no systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs on the effect of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress among diabetic patients. This current meta-analysis was conducted to summarize the available evidence of RCTs to establish the effect of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress among diabetic patients.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy and selection studies

The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guideline were conformed to design, analysis, and reporting of this study [19]. Eligible RCTs were identified using Cochrane Library, Embase, Medline, and Web of Science databases for relevant articles published until December 2017, and by manually searching the reference list of the retrieved articles. Databases of International Standard Randomized Controlled Trial Number Register and Meta-register for RCTs were also searched for all ongoing trials. Studies retrieved that evaluated the effects of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress by using the following MeSH and text words: patients ["diabetes"], intervention [("vitamin D3 and/or D2" OR "vitamin D supplement" OR "vitamin D treatment" AND "supplementation" OR "intake")], and outcomes ["hs-CRP" OR "malondialdehyde (MDA)" OR "nitric oxide (NO)" OR "total glutathione (GSH)" OR "total antioxidant capacity (TAC)"] to December 2017. The search was limited to studies in humans and published in English. Additional manual search such as reference lists of related studies; former review studies were reviewed to increase sensitivity in search strategy. One author (VO) independently read the abstracts of all identified studies to exclude those that were clearly not relevant. The full texts of the remaining articles were read to determine if they met the study inclusion criteria. In case of discrepancy, consensus was reached or resolved by discussion with a third author (ZA). Trials were included for meta-analysis that met the following criteria: 1) original trials, 2) human trials, 3) intervention and control groups received of vitamin D supplementation and placebo, respectively, and 4) the trials reported mean changes or mean difference of body composition and/or metabolic profiles with standard deviation (SD) for the intervention and control groups.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors (VO and AD) independently extracted data and have assessed the quality of all RCTs by using standard forms and the Cochrane Collaboration risk of bias tool [20, 21], respectively. This tool is based on information on the following domains: randomization generation, allocation concealment, blinding of subjects and outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, and selective outcome reporting, and other sources of bias. When there was disagreement among them, it was resolved by discussion with the third author (ZA). Eligible studies were reviewed and the following data were abstracted: 1) first authors' name, 2) publication year, 3) age, sex, and body composition and/or metabolic profiles of study participants and associated measures of variance, 4) study location, 5) number of participants in the intervention and control groups, 6) study design, and 7) duration of the intervention.

Data analysis

Heterogeneity and publication biases

The statistical heterogeneity across the results of the included studies was tested using chi-square test at the 5% significant level [22], and quantified by the I² statistic [23]. Meta-regression was used for assessing the source of heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed by the funnel plot and tested for statistical significance using the Egger's test [24].

Summary measures

We calculated the mean difference for the effect of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress for each included studies. The change score approach was used to obtain the effect sizes, because the correlations between baseline and end measurements were more than 1/2 [25]. A meta-analysis was performed to obtain the summary measures for the effect of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress using the inverse variance method. The random effects model was used to report the pooled mean difference with 95% confidence interval (CI). p-Values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 11.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

Description of the included RCTs

Our initial search found 1053 potential citations. After screening, 33 trials were proven to be eligible for meta-analysis. ► Fig. 1 shows the details of step-by-step study identification and selection. The key characteristics of the RCTs are summarized in ► Table 1. Trials were published between 2012and 2017. These 33 selected studies included 1053 randomized participants. The quality of the included trails was assessed as described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions and the results of risk of bias are summarized in ► Fig.1.

Main outcomes

The effects of vitamin D supplementation on inflammatory markers

The findings showed that vitamin D supplementation significantly reduced serum hs-CRP [WMD - 0.27 (-0.35, -0.20); p < 0.001], and significantly increased NO [WMD 4.33 (0.96, 7.70), p < 0.001] in diabetic patients (**> Fig. 2, 4**). The results of subgroup analysis

of the effect of vitamin D supplementation based on the type of disease are shown in **> Fig. 3**.

The effects of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of oxidative stress

Vitamin D supplementation also significantly reduced serum MDA levels [WMD – 0.43 (– 0.62, – 0.25), p < 0.001], (**Fig. 7**) and TAC [WMD 57.34 (33.48, 81.20), p < 0.001] (**Fig. 5**), and GSH levels [WMD 82.59 (44.37, 120.81), p < 0.001] in diabetic patients (**Fig. 6**).

Heterogeneity and publication bias

The results of the chi-square test showed that there was considerable heterogeneity across the results of the included RCTs. The I² for studies assessed the effect of vitamin D supplementation on hs-CRP, NO, TCA, GSH, and MDA levels were 92.5% (p < 0.001), 94.0% (p < 0.001), 65.5% (p < 0.001), 98.1% (p < 0.001), and 73.5% (p = 0.01), respectively (**> Fig. 2,4–7**). Based on the results of meta-regression analysis, the type of disease had a significant association with the heterogeneity for the effect of vitamin D supplementation on hs-CRP levels (p = 0.01).



Fig. 1 Literature search and review flow-chart for selection of studies.

	Intervention (name and daily dose)	1000 IU vitamin D3/day	11 200 IU vitamin D3 daily for 2 weeks, followed by 5600 IU Vitamin D3 daily for 10 weeks	5000 IU vitamin D3/day	1000 IU vitamin D3 + 340 mg calcium/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks	0.5 µg dihydroxycholecalciferol (calcitriol)/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 at baseline and at day 21 of the interven- tion	50 000 IU vitamin D3 at baseline and at day 21 of the interven- tion + 1 g calcium/day	400 IU vitamin D3 + 1 g calcium + 180 µg vitamin K/day	0.5 µg dihydroxycholecalciferol (calcitriol) + 500 mg calcium/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks + 2g omega-3/day	500 IU vitamin D3 + 150 mg calcium/day	500 IU vitamin D3 + 250 mg calcium/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks	300 000 IU vitamin D3 (single dose, IM)	1000 IU vitamin D3/day
	Age (years)	65.8±9.7, 66.8±9.2	57.0±4.5, 61.6±4.4	64.9±8.9, 65.8±7.3	52.6±6.3, 52.4±8.4	65.1 ± 10.1, 60.1 ± 10.4	52.4±7.8, 53.8±8.9	31.8±6.6, 31.7±5.6	30.8±6.6, 28.7±6.0	65.0±11.1, 65.9±11.4	52.0 (20.5), 55 (9.5)	63.0±10.7, 60.5±8.6	29.2±3.4, 29.9±5.0	29.2±3.4, 29.9±4.0	50.8±6.7, 51.5±5.4	50.8 ±6.7, 49.9 ±6.2	58.6±8.6, 59.6±8.2	55.89±5.24, 54±6.13	52.4±8.4, 52.6±6.3
	Subject type	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	Diabetic hemodialysis	T2DM	GDM	GDM	T2DM with CHD	Diabetic CKD	T2DM with CHD	GDM	GDM	T2DM	T2DM	DFU	T2DM	T2DM
	Duration (week)	12 months	12 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	6 weeks	6 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	6 months	6 weeks	6 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	12 weeks	8 weeks	12 weeks
ie analysis.	Sample size	47	15	100	100	60	70	54	56	66	31	60	60	60	60	60	60	95	100
dies included in tł	Location	Israel	Denmark	Hong Kong	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Malaysia	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran	Iran
cs of the stud	Year	2013	2014	2013	2012	2018	2013	2013	2014	2016	2014	2017	2017	2017	2017	2011	2016	2016	2014
Table 1 Characteristic	Authors [Ref.]	Breslavsky et al. [49]	Kampmann et al. [50]	Yiu et al. [51]	Shab-Bidar et al. [52]	Tamadon et al. [7]	Eftekhari et al. [41]	Asemi et al. [53]	Asemi et al. [54]	Asemi et al. [55]	Mustafar et al. [56]	Farrokhian et al. [40]	Razavi et al. [57]	Razavi et al. [57]	Neyestani et al .[58]	Neyestani et al .[58]	Razzaghi et al. [59]	Shaseb et al. [60]	Shab-Bidar et al. [61]

	Intervention (name and daily dose)	2000 IU vitamin D3/day	0.25 µg alfacalcidol/day	2000 IU vitamin D3/day (fortified yogurt)	6000 IU vitamin D3/day for 3 months followed by 3000 IU vitamin D3/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks	1 µg paricalcitol/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 per week	2000 IU vitamin D3 + 200 mg calcium/day	300 000 IU vitamin D3 (single dose, IM)	0.5 µg dihydroxycholecalciferol (calcitriol)/day	500 IU vitamin D3 + 150 mg calcium/day	500 IU vitamin D3 + 250 mg calcium/day	50 000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks (high dose)	50 000 IU vitamin D3 monthly (medium dose)	200 IU vitamin D3/day (low dose)
	Age (years)	59.8±9.1, 57.4±10.7	56.22 ± 7.03, 57.57 ± 6.71	56.8±5.7, 57.8±5.5	48±8, 49±8	32.1 ± 3.61, 31.64 ± 4.40	61.0 (51.0–71.0) , 64 (53.071.0)	51.0±6.1, 50.2±6.6	56.7 ± 7.9, 54.5 ± 7.4	63.7±3.5, 66.9±3.1	52.4±7.8, 53.8±8.9	50.8±6.7, 51.5±5.4	50.8 ±6.7, 49.9 ±6.2	29.8±4.7, 30.1±4.5	29.8 ±4.7, 29.4 ±4.9	29.8±4.7, 30.3±5.1
	Subject type	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	GDM	T2DM with CKD	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	T2DM	GDM	GDM	GDM
	Duration (week)	24 weeks	6 months	12 weeks	6 months	2 months	12 weeks	8 weeks	24 weeks	6 months	3 months	12 weeks	12 weeks	24–28 weeks of pregnancy until delivery	24–28 weeks of pregnancy until delivery	24–28 weeks of pregnancy until delivery
	Sample size	55	60	59	82	72	46	59	62	55	70	60	60	57	58	58
	Location	Italy	Malaysia	Iran	United Arab Emirates	Iran	USA	Iran	Korea	Switzerland	Iran	Iran	Iran	China	China	China
	Year	2016	2016	2016	2015	2016	2015	2014	2014	2014	2013	2014	2014	2016	2016	2016
Table 1 Continued	Authors [Ref.]	Barchetta et al. [62]	Munisamy et al. [63]	Jafari et al. [64]	Sadiya et al. [65]	Yazdchi et al. [66]	Thethi et al. [67]	Tabesh et al. [68]	Ryu et al. [69]	Jehle et al. [70]	Akbarzadeh et al. [71]	Nikooyeh et al. [72]	Nikooyeh et al. [72]	Zhang et al. [73]	Zhang et al. [73]	Zhang et al. [73]

Study ID	WMD (95 % CI)	% Weight
Barchetta I (2016)	- 1.83 (- 3.27, - 0.39)	0.27
Nevestani T (a) (2012)	- 0.60 (- 1.72, 0.52)	0.44
Nevestani T (b) (2012)	-3.30 (-6.23, -0.37)	0.07
Mustafar RB (2014)	0.57 (- 1.49, 2.63)	0.13
Asemi Z (2014)	0.20 (-0.56, 0.95)	0.94
Tabesh M (2014) +	-0.42 (-0.59, -0.25)	8.97
Kampmann U (2014)	0.44 (- 2.93, 3.81)	0.05
Asemi Z (2013)	-1.16 (-2.01, -0.31)	0.75
Ryu OH (2014)	0.03 (-0.01, 0.07)	16.30
Jehlea S (2014)	0.10 (-0.52, 0.72)	1.37
Asemi Z (2016)	- 1.78 (- 2.61, - 0.96)	0.79
Farrokhian A (2017)	-1.30 (-2.53, -0.07)	0.37
Sadiya A (2015)	- 1.40 (- 3.17, 0.37)	0.18
Breslavsky A (2013)	- 3.00 (- 4.17, - 1.83)	0.40
Jafari T (2015) 🔶	-0.48 (-0.80, -0.16)	4.17
Akbarzadeh M (2013) 🔶	0.08 (-0.24, 0.40)	4.08
Shaseb E (2016)	-0.88 (-1.45, -0.30)	1.56
Thethi T (2015)	- 1.63 (- 3.28, 0.02)	0.20
Yazdch R (2016)	- 1.51 (- 2.32, - 0.70)	0.82
Munisamy S (2015)	- 1.31 (- 2.00, - 0.62)	1.10
Razavi M (a) (2017)	- 1.70 (- 2.85, - 0.55)	0.42
Razavi M (b) (2017)	-2.90 (-3.59, -2.21)	1.12
Tamadon MR (2017)	- 2.80 (- 3.55, - 2.05)	0.96
Shab-Bidar S (2012)	- 1.38 (- 1.79, - 0.97)	2.75
Razzaghi R (2016)	-2.30 (-2.99, -1.61)	1.11
Zhang Q (c) (2015) •	-0.00 (-0.02, 0.01)	16.90
Zhang Q (a) (2015) •	-0.01 (-0.02, 0.01)	16.91
Zhang Q (b) (2015)	-0.01 (-0.02, 0.01)	16.91
Overall (I-squared = 92.5%, p = 0.000)	- 0.27 (- 0.35, - 0.20)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis		
-6.23 0	6.23	

Fig. 2 Forest plot of the mean difference for the effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum hs-CRP levels in diabetic patients.

The possibility of publication bias was assessed using a funnel plot (\triangleright Fig. 8), and Egger's test. In \triangleright Fig. 8a, the RCTs scattered asymmetrically around the null vertical line, indicating publication bias for the effect of vitamin D supplementation on hs-CRP (p<0.001), and MDA (p=0.04) (\triangleright Fig. 8d). For the effect of vitamin D supplementation on TAC (p=0.28) and GSH (p=0.43) there was no evidence of publication bias (\triangleright Fig. 8b and c).

Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis is the first report of the effect of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress among diabetic patients. This meta-analysis showed that taking vitamin D significantly reduced serum hs-CRP and MDA levels (markers of inflammation and oxidative stress respectively), and significantly increased NO, TAC, and GSH levels.

Diabetic patients appear to be susceptible to increased biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress [26]. This meta-analysis demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation resulted in a significant decrease in hs-CRP and a significant increase in NO levels in diabetic patients. Vitamin D deficiency is a common status affecting over 40% of the United States population [27]. Deficiency in 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels has been independently correlated with increased risk of CVD, severity of coronary atherosclerosis, and allcause mortality [28, 29]. The potential anti-inflammatory effects of vitamin D have been widely reported in previous studies [30, 31]. In a meta-analysis study conducted by Chen et al. [13], it was shown that vitamin D supplementation significantly reduced serum hs-CRP concentrations. In addition, vitamin D supplementation to women with polycystic ovary syndrome led to an improvement in hs-CRP, MDA and TAC, but did not influence NO and GSH levels [32]. In this study, individuals had much higher levels of inflammation, with baseline circulating CRP concentrations varying from 1.71 to 22 mg/l (median of 5 mg/l) [14]. In addition, cross-sectional studies have documented the negative association between circulating vitamin D levels and inflammatory factors in some groups. For instance, an inverse association between serum 25(OH)D concentrations and inflammatory markers was observed in older individuals from the general population [33]. Moreover, an inverse relation was documented in 147 morbidly obese people whose hs-CRP concentrations ranged from 1.88 to 4.01 mg/l [34]. Unlike, another meta-analysis study among overweight/obese people found no significant impact of cholecalciferol and ergocalciferol administration on inflammatory markers [14]. Increased systemic inflammation plays an important function in the genesis and progression of atherosclerosis [35]. Furthermore, increasing hs-CRP levels are associated with the extension of infarct and with increased possibility of cardiac rupture [36]. Previous studies showed that vitamin D intake might reduce inflammatory factors by inhibiting the production of IL-6 [37, 38]. Moreover, vitamin D may inhibit the nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) activity by increasing the expression of IκB, which in turn would result in a significant decrease in the production of pro-inflammatory factors, such as IL-8 [39].

Study ID	WMD (95% CI)	% Weight
T2DM Barchetta I (2016) Neyestani T (a) (2012) Tabesh M (2014) Kampmann U (2014) Ryu OH (2014) Jehlea S (2014) Sadiya A (2015) Breslavsky A (2013) Jafari T (2015) Akbarzadeh M (2013) Shaseb E (2016) Munisamy S (2015) Shab-Bidar S (2012) Subtotal (I-squared = 90.6 %, p = 0.000)	$\begin{array}{c} -1.83 \left(-3.27,-0.39\right)\\ -0.60 \left(-1.72,0.52\right)\\ -3.30 \left(-6.23,-0.37\right)\\ -0.42 \left(-0.59,-0.25\right)\\ 0.44 \left(-2.93,3.81\right)\\ 0.03 \left(-0.01,0.07\right)\\ 0.10 \left(-0.52,0.72\right)\\ -1.40 \left(-3.17,0.37\right)\\ -3.00 \left(-4.17,-1.83\right)\\ -0.48 \left(-0.80,-0.16\right)\\ 0.08 \left(-0.24,0.40\right)\\ -0.88 \left(-1.45,-0.30\right)\\ -1.31 \left(-2.00,-0.62\right)\\ -1.38 \left(-1.79,-0.97\right)\\ -0.73 \left(-1.07,-0.39\right)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.27\\ 0.44\\ 0.07\\ 8.97\\ 0.05\\ 16.30\\ 1.37\\ 0.18\\ 0.40\\ 4.17\\ 4.08\\ 1.56\\ 1.10\\ 2.75\\ 41.68\end{array}$
diabetic CKD Mustafar RB (2014) Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)	0.57 (- 1.49, 2.63) 0.57 (- 1.49, 2.63)	0.13 0.13
GDM Asemi Z (2014) Asemi Z (2013) Yazdch R (2016) Razavi M (a) (2017) Razavi M (b) (2017) Zhang Q (c) (2015) Zhang Q (a) (2015) Zhang Q (b) (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = 92.8 %, p = 0.000)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20 \ (-\ 0.56, \ 0.95) \\ -\ 1.16 \ (-\ 2.01, \ -\ 0.31) \\ -\ 1.51 \ (-\ 2.32, \ -\ 0.70) \\ -\ 1.70 \ (-\ 2.85, \ -\ 0.55) \\ -\ 2.90 \ (-\ 3.59, \ -\ 2.21) \\ -\ 0.00 \ (-\ 0.02, \ 0.01) \\ -\ 0.01 \ (-\ 0.02, \ 0.01) \\ -\ 0.01 \ (-\ 0.02, \ 0.01) \\ -\ 0.04 \ (-\ 0.10, \ 0.02) \end{array}$	0.94 0.75 0.82 0.42 1.12 16.90 16.91 16.91 54.76
T2DM with CHD Asemi Z (2016) Farrokhian A (2017) Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.522)	– 1.78 (– 2.61, – 0.96) – 1.30 (– 2.53, – 0.07) – 1.63 (– 2.32, – 0.95)	0.79 0.37 1.15
T2DM with CKD Thethi T (2015) Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)	– 1.63 (– 3.28, 0.02) – 1.63 (– 3.28, 0.02)	0.20 0.20
Diabetic Hemodialysis Tamadon MR (2017) Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p = .)	– 2.80 (– 3.55, – 2.05) – 2.80 (– 3.55, – 2.05)	0.96 0.96
DFU Razzaghi R (2016) Subtotal (I-squared = .%, p =.)	– 2.30 (– 2.99, – 1.61) – 2.30 (– 2.99, – 1.61)	1.11 1.11
Overall (I-squared = 92.5 %, p = 0.000)	-0.27 (-0.35, -0.20)	100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis	İ	
-6.23 0	6.23	

Fig. 3 Forest plot of the mean difference for the effects of vitamin D supplementation on serum hs-CRP levels in diabetic patients based on the type of disease.

Our meta-analysis of RCTs showed that vitamin D supplementation resulted in a significant increase in TAC and GSH, and a significant decrease in MDA levels in diabetics. Data on the effects of vitamin D supplementation on oxidative stress biomarkers in diabetics has been inconsistent. Some studies have reported that vitamin D was useful in improving few biomarker of oxidative stress [15, 40], while others did not observe such beneficial effects in diabetic people [41]. Furthermore, in a cross-sectional study in people with T2DM, circulating levels of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D were inversely related to some circulating oxidative stress biomarkers such as advanced oxidation protein products [42]. Other studies have documented that vitamin D levels were inversely correlated



Fig. 4 Forest plot of the mean difference for the effects of vitamin D supplementation on NO levels among diabetic patients.



Fig. 5 Forest plot of the mean difference for the effects of vitamin D supplementation on TAC levels among diabetic patients.

with other markers of oxidative stress, such as urinary isoprostanes and serum lipid peroxides [12, 43]. A large number of clinical studies have demonstrated that diabetic people are susceptible to increased oxidative stress through the enhanced production of lipids, proteins and DNA oxidation products [44, 45]. In addition, oxidative stress biomarkers have been related to the pathogenesis of diabetes-related vascular complications [46]. The decreasing markers of free radical damage of lipids and proteins, and pro-inflammatory factors by vitamin D may explain its antioxidant effects [47]. In addition, the antioxidant properties of vitamin D is attributed to



Fig. 6 Forest plot of the mean difference for the effects of vitamin D supplementation on GSH levels among diabetic patients.



Fig. 7 Forest plot of the mean difference for the effects of vitamin D supplementation on MDA levels among diabetic patients.

decreased lipid peroxidation, suppressed gene expression of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate enzyme and inhibiting accumulation of the advanced glycation end products [12, 48]. The current study has a few limitations. Various doses of vitamin D were administered for intervention in the included studies. We were unable to assess the dose response association between supplementation and biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative.



Fig. 8 Funnel plot of included studies for **a** hs-CRP, **b** TAC, **c** GSH, and **d** MDA.

One of the major limitations of the study was the inclusion of studies with relatively small sample size that could influence type-2 statistical error. Another limitation of this study was an evidence of publication bias regarding the effect of vitamin D on the hs-CRP levels. So the results should be interpreted with more caution.

Funding

The current study was founded by a grant from the Vice-chancellor for Research, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, and Iran.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2014; 37: (Suppl 1): S81–S90
- [2] Guariguata L, Whiting DR, Hambleton I, Beagley J, Linnenkamp U, Shaw JE. Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2013 and projections for 2035. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2014; 103: 137–149
- [3] Ragy MM, Kamal NN. Linking senile dementia to type 2 diabetes: Role of oxidative stress markers, C-reactive protein and tumor necrosis factor-alpha. Neurol Res 2017; 39: 587–595
- [4] Hojs R, Ekart R, Bevc S, Hojs N. Markers of inflammation and oxidative stress in the development and progression of renal disease in diabetic patients. Nephron 2016; 133: 159–162
- [5] Flaim C, Kob M, Di Pierro AM, Herrmann M, Lucchin L. Effects of a whey protein supplementation on oxidative stress, body composition

and glucose metabolism among overweight people affected by diabetes mellitus or impaired fasting glucose: A pilot study. J Nutr Biochem 2017; 50: 95–102

- [6] Pittas AG, Lau J, Hu FB, Dawson-Hughes B. The role of vitamin D and calcium in type 2 diabetes. A systematic review and meta-analysis.
 J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007; 92: 2017–2029
- [7] Tamadon MR, Soleimani A, Keneshlou F, Mojarrad MZ, Bahmani F, Naseri A, Kashani HH, Hosseini ES, Asemi Z. Clinical trial on the effects of vitamin d supplementation on metabolic profiles in diabetic hemodialysis. Horm Metab Res 2018; 50: 50–55
- [8] Teegarden D, Donkin SS. Vitamin D: Emerging new roles in insulin sensitivity. Nutr Res Rev 2009; 22: 82–92
- [9] Kositsawat J, Freeman VL, Gerber BS, Geraci S. Association of A1C levels with vitamin D status in U.S. adults: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Diabetes Care 2010; 33: 1236–1238
- [10] Wang TJ, Pencina MJ, Booth SL, Jacques PF, Ingelsson E, Lanier K, Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Wolf M, Vasan RS. Vitamin D deficiency and risk of cardiovascular disease. Circulation 2008; 117: 503–511
- [11] Peterson CA, Heffernan ME. Serum tumor necrosis factor-alpha concentrations are negatively correlated with serum 25(OH)D concentrations in healthy women. J Inflamm (Lond) 2008; 5: 10
- [12] Tarcin O, Yavuz DG, Ozben B, Telli A, Ogunc AV, Yuksel M, Toprak A, Yazici D, Sancak S, Deyneli O, Akalin S. Effect of vitamin D deficiency and replacement on endothelial function in asymptomatic subjects. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009; 94: 4023–4030
- [13] Chen N, Wan Z, Han SF, Li BY, Zhang ZL, Qin LQ. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on the level of circulating high-sensitivity C-reactive protein: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutrients 2014; 6: 2206–2216
- [14] Jamka M, Wozniewicz M, Walkowiak J, Bogdanski P, Jeszka J, Stelmach-Mardas M. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on selected inflammatory biomarkers in obese and overweight subjects: A systematic review with meta-analysis. Eur J Nutr 2016; 55: 2163–2176

- [15] Anandabaskar N, Selvarajan S, Dkhar SA, Kamalanathan SK, Tamilarasu K, Bobby Z. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on vascular functions and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetic patients with vitamin D deficiency. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2017; 21: 555–563
- [16] Saif-Elnasr M, Ibrahim IM, Alkady MM. Role of Vitamin D on glycemic control and oxidative stress in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Res Med Sci 2017; 22: 22
- [17] Deda L, Yeshayahu Y, Sud S, Cuerden M, Cherney DZ, Sochett EB, Mahmud FH. Improvements in peripheral vascular function with vitamin D treatment in deficient adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes 2018; 19: 457–463
- [18] Mousa A, Naderpoor N, Johnson J, Sourris K, de Courten MPJ, Wilson K, Scragg R, Plebanski M, de Courten B. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on inflammation and nuclear factor kappa-B activity in overweight/obese adults: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 15154
- [19] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000097
- [20] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011; 343: d5928
- [21] Mansournia MA, Higgins JP, Sterne JA, Hernan MA. Biases in randomized trials: A conversation between trialists and epidemiologists. Epidemiology 2017; 28: 54–59
- [22] Overvad K, Diamant B, Holm L, Holmer G, Mortensen SA, Stender S. Coenzyme Q10 in health and disease. Eur J Clin Nutr 1999; 53: 764–770
- [23] Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557–560
- [24] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Bmj 1997; 315: 629–634
- [25] Matthews JN. Introduction to randomized controlled clinical trials. New York: CRC Press; 2006
- [26] Roman-Pintos LM, Villegas-Rivera G, Rodriguez-Carrizalez AD, Miranda-Diaz AG, Cardona-Munoz EG. Diabetic polyneuropathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Inflammation, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial function. J Diabetes Res 2016; 3425617
- [27] Forrest KY, Stuhldreher WL. Prevalence and correlates of vitamin D deficiency in US adults. Nutr Res 2011; 31: 48–54
- [28] Wang L, Song Y, Manson JE, Pilz S, Marz W, Michaelsson K, Lundqvist A, Jassal SK, Barrett-Connor E, Zhang C, Eaton CB, May HT, Anderson JL, Sesso HD. Circulating 25-hydroxy-vitamin D and risk of cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcom 2012; 5: 819–829
- [29] Dziedzic EA, Przychodzen S, Dabrowski M. The effects of vitamin D on severity of coronary artery atherosclerosis and lipid profile of cardiac patients. Arch Med Sci 2016; 12: 1199–1206
- [30] Calton EK, Keane KN, Soares MJ. The potential regulatory role of vitamin D in the bioenergetics of inflammation. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2015; 18: 367–373
- [31] Laird E, McNulty H, Ward M, Hoey L, McSorley E, Wallace JM, Carson E, Molloy AM, Healy M, Casey MC, Cunningham C, Strain JJ. Vitamin D deficiency is associated with inflammation in older Irish adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014; 99: 1807–1815
- [32] Akbari M, Ostadmohammadi V, Lankarani KB, Tabrizi R, Kolahdooz F, Heydari ST, Kavari SH, Mirhosseini N, Mafi A, Dastorani M, Asemi Z. The Effects of vitamin D supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress among women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Horm Metab Res 2018; 50: 271–259

- [33] de Oliveira C, Biddulph JP, Hirani V, Schneider IJC. Vitamin D and inflammatory markers: Cross-sectional analyses using data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA). J Nutr Sci 2017; 6: e1
- [34] Bellia A, Garcovich C, D'Adamo M, Lombardo M, Tesauro M, Donadel G, Gentileschi P, Lauro D, Federici M, Lauro R, Sbraccia P. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels are inversely associated with systemic inflammation in severe obese subjects. Intern Emerg Med 2013; 8: 33–40
- [35] Hameed I, Masoodi SR, Mir SA, Nabi M, Ghazanfar K, Ganai BA. Type 2 diabetes mellitus: From a metabolic disorder to an inflammatory condition. World J Diabetes 2015; 6: 598–612
- [36] Rashidinejad H, Hosseini SM, Moazenzadeh M, Azimzadeh BS, Mirzaeipour F, Fakhreddini K, Sheikhvatan M. Relationship between serum level of high-sensitive C-reactive protein and extension of myocardial involvement in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Rom J Intern Med 2012; 50: 211–215
- [37] Colin EM, Asmawidjaja PS, van Hamburg JP, Mus AM, van Driel M, Hazes JM, van Leeuwen JP, Lubberts E. 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 modulates Th17 polarization and interleukin-22 expression by memory T cells from patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2010; 62: 132–142
- [38] Khoo AL, Chai LY, Koenen HJ, Sweep FC, Joosten I, Netea MG, van der Ven AJ. Regulation of cytokine responses by seasonality of vitamin D status in healthy individuals. Clin Exp Immunol. 2011; 164: 72–79
- [39] Al-Rasheed NM, Bassiouni YA, Hasan IH, Al-Amin MA, Al-Ajmi HN, Mohamad RA. Vitamin D attenuates pro-inflammatory TNF-alpha cytokine expression by inhibiting NF-small ka, CyrillicB/p65 signaling in hypertrophied rat hearts. J Physiol Biochem 2015; 71: 289–299
- [40] Farrokhian A, Raygan F, Bahmani F, Talari HR, Esfandiari R, Esmaillzadeh A, Asemi Z. Long-term vitamin D supplementation affects metabolic status in vitamin D-deficient type 2 diabetic patients with coronary artery disease. J Nutr 2017; 147: 384–389
- [41] Eftekhari MH, Akbarzadeh M, Dabbaghmanesh MH, Hassanzadeh J. The effect of calcitriol on lipid profile and oxidative stress in hyperlipidemic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. ARYA Atheroscl 2014; 10: 82–88
- [42] Tiwari BK, Pandey KB, Abidi AB, Rizvi SI. Markers of oxidative stress during diabetes mellitus. J Biomark 2013; 378790
- [43] Shea MK, Booth SL, Massaro JM, Jacques PF, D'Agostino RB Sr., Dawson-Hughes B, Ordovas JM, O'Donnell CJ, Kathiresan S, Keaney JF Jr., Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ. Vitamin K and vitamin D status: Associations with inflammatory markers in the Framingham Offspring Study. Am J Epidemiol 2008; 167: 313–320
- [44] Tabak O, Gelisgen R, Erman H, Erdenen F, Muderrisoglu C, Aral H, Uzun H. Oxidative lipid, protein, and DNA damage as oxidative stress markers in vascular complications of diabetes mellitus. Clin Invest Med 2011; 34: E163–E171
- [45] Piwowar A, Knapik-Kordecka M, Warwas M. Markers of oxidative protein damage in plasma and urine of type 2 diabetic patients. Br J Biomed Sci 2009; 66: 194–199
- [46] Pitocco D, Zaccardi F, Di Stasio E, Romitelli F, Santini SA, Zuppi C, Ghirlanda G. Oxidative stress, nitric oxide, and diabetes. Rev Diabet Stud 2010; 7: 15–25
- [47] Jain SK, Micinski D. Vitamin D upregulates glutamate cysteine ligase and glutathione reductase, and GSH formation, and decreases ROS and MCP-1 and IL-8 secretion in high-glucose exposed U937 monocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2013; 437: 7–11
- [48] Hirata M, Serizawa K, Aizawa K, Yogo K, Tashiro Y, Takeda S, Moriguchi Y, Endo K, Fukagawa M. 22-Oxacalcitriol prevents progression of endothelial dysfunction through antioxidative effects in rats with type 2 diabetes and early-stage nephropathy. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28: 1166–1174

- [49] Breslavsky A, Frand J, Matas Z, Boaz M, Barnea Z, Shargorodsky M. Effect of high doses of vitamin D on arterial properties, adiponectin, leptin and glucose homeostasis in type 2 diabetic patients. Clin Nutr 2013; 32: 970–975
- [50] Kampmann U, Mosekilde L, Juhl C, Moller N, Christensen B, Rejnmark L, Wamberg L, Orskov L. Effects of 12 weeks high dose vitamin D3 treatment on insulin sensitivity, beta cell function, and metabolic markers in patients with type 2 diabetes and vitamin D insufficiency – a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Metabolism 2014; 63: 1115–1124
- [51] Yiu YF, Yiu KH, Siu CW, Chan YH, Li SW, Wong LY, Lee SW, Tam S, Wong EW, Lau CP, Cheung BM, Tse HF. Randomized controlled trial of vitamin D supplement on endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes. Atherosclerosis 2013; 227: 140–146
- [52] Shab-Bidar S, Neyestani TR, Djazayery A, Eshraghian MR, Houshiarrad A, Kalayi A, Shariatzadeh N, Khalaji N, Gharavi A. Improvement of vitamin D status resulted in amelioration of biomarkers of systemic inflammation in the subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2012; 28: 424–430
- [53] Asemi Z, Hashemi T, Karamali M, Samimi M, Esmaillzadeh A. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on glucose metabolism, lipid concentrations, inflammation, and oxidative stress in gestational diabetes: A double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013; 98: 1425–1432
- [54] Asemi Z, Karamali M, Esmaillzadeh A. Effects of calcium-vitamin D co-supplementation on glycaemic control, inflammation and oxidative stress in gestational diabetes: A randomised placebo-controlled trial. Diabetologia 2014; 57: 1798–1806
- [55] Asemi Z, Raygan F, Bahmani F, Rezavandi Z, Talari HR, Rafiee M, Poladchang S, Darooghegi Mofrad M, Taheri S, Mohammadi AA, Esmaillzadeh A. The effects of vitamin D, K and calcium co-supplementation on carotid intima-media thickness and metabolic status in overweight type 2 diabetic patients with CHD. Br J Nutr 2016; 116: 286–293
- [56] Mustafar RB, Mohd R, Miswan NA, Bain A, Cader R, Gafor AH, Mohammad M, Shah SA, Kamaruddin NA, Kong NC. The effects of calcitriol with calcium carbonate supplementation on inflammatory biomarkers in chronic kidney disease patients' with low vitamin D. Cent Eur J Immunol 2014; 39: 236–242
- [57] Razavi M, Jamilian M, Samimi M, Afshar Ebrahimi F, Taghizadeh M, Bekhradi R, Seyed Hosseini E, Haddad Kashani H, Karamali M, Asemi Z. The effects of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids co-supplementation on biomarkers of inflammation, oxidative stress and pregnancy outcomes in patients with gestational diabetes. Nutr Metab (Lond) 2017; 14: 80
- [58] Neyestani TR, Nikooyeh B, Alavi-Majd H, Shariatzadeh N, Kalayi A, Tayebinejad N, Heravifard S, Salekzamani S, Zahedirad M. Improvement of vitamin D status via daily intake of fortified yogurt drink either with or without extra calcium ameliorates systemic inflammatory biomarkers, including adipokines, in the subjects with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012; 97: 2005–2011
- [59] Razzaghi R, Pourbagheri H, Momen-Heravi M, Bahmani F, Shadi J, Soleimani Z, Asemi Z. The effects of vitamin D supplementation on wound healing and metabolic status in patients with diabetic foot ulcer: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Diabetes Complicat 2017; 31: 766–772
- [60] Shaseb E, Tohidi M, Abbasinazari M, Khalili D, Talasaz AH, Omrani H, Hadaegh F. The effect of a single dose of vitamin D on glycemic status and C-reactive protein levels in type 2 diabetic patients with ischemic heart disease: A randomized clinical trial. Acta Diabetol 2016; 53: 575–582

- [61] Shab-Bidar S, Neyestani TR, Djazayery A. The interactive effect of improvement of vitamin D status and VDR Fokl variants on oxidative stress in type 2 diabetic subjects: A randomized controlled trial. Eur J Clin Nutr 2015; 69: 216–222
- [62] Barchetta I, Del Ben M, Angelico F, Di Martino M, Fraioli A, La Torre G, Saulle R, Perri L, Morini S, Tiberti C, Bertoccini L, Cimini FA, Panimolle F, Catalano C, Baroni MG, Cavallo MG. No effects of oral vitamin D supplementation on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. BMC Med 2016; 14: 92
- [63] Munisamy S, Daud KM, Mokhtar SS, Rasool AH. Effects of 1alpha-Calcidol (alfacalcidol) on microvascular endothelial function, arterial stiffness, and blood pressure in type ii diabetic nephropathy patients. Microcirculation (New York, NY: 1994) 2016; 23: 53–61
- [64] Jafari T, Faghihimani E, Feizi A, Iraj B, Javanmard SH, Esmaillzadeh A, Fallah AA, Askari G. Effects of vitamin D-fortified low fat yogurt on glycemic status, anthropometric indexes, inflammation, and bone turnover in diabetic postmenopausal women: A randomised controlled clinical trial. Clin Nutr 2016; 35: 67–76
- [65] Sadiya A, Ahmed SM, Carlsson M, Tesfa Y, George M, Ali SH, Siddieg HH, Abusnana S. Vitamin D supplementation in obese type 2 diabetes subjects in Ajman, UAE: A randomized controlled double-blinded clinical trial. Eur J Clin Nutr 2015; 69: 707–711
- [66] Yazdchi R, Gargari BP, Asghari-Jafarabadi M, Sahhaf F. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic indices and hs-CRP levels in gestational diabetes mellitus patients: A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Nutr Res Pract 2016; 10: 328–335
- [67] Thethi TK, Bajwa MA, Ghanim H, Jo C, Weir M, Goldfine AB, Umpierrez G, Desouza C, Dandona P, Fang-Hollingsworth Y, Raghavan V, Fonseca VA. Effect of paricalcitol on endothelial function and inflammation in type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. J Diabetes Complicat 2015; 29: 433–437
- [68] Tabesh M, Azadbakht L, Faghihimani E, Tabesh M, Esmaillzadeh A. Calcium-vitamin D cosupplementation influences circulating inflammatory biomarkers and adipocytokines in vitamin D-insufficient diabetics: A randomized controlled clinical trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014; 99: E2485–E2493
- [69] Ryu OH, Chung W, Lee S, Hong KS, Choi MG, Yoo HJ. The effect of high-dose vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance and arterial stiffness in patients with type 2 diabetes. Korean J Intern Med. 2014; 29: 620–629
- [70] Jehle S, Lardi A, Felix B, Hulter HN, Stettler C, Krapf R. Effect of large doses of parenteral vitamin D on glycaemic control and calcium/ phosphate metabolism in patients with stable type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomised, placebo-controlled, prospective pilot study. Swiss Med Wkly 2014; 144: w13942
- [71] Akbarzadeh M, Eftekhari MH, Dabbaghmanesh MH, Hasanzadeh J, Bakhshayeshkaram M. Serum IL-18 and hsCRP correlate with insulin resistance without effect of calcitriol treatment on type 2 diabetes. Iran J Immunol 2013; 10: 167–176
- [72] Nikooyeh B, Neyestani TR, Tayebinejad N, Alavi-Majd H, Shariatzadeh N, Kalayi A, Zahedirad M, Heravifard S, Salekzamani S. Daily intake of vitamin D- or calcium-vitamin D-fortified Persian yogurt drink (doogh) attenuates diabetes-induced oxidative stress: evidence for antioxidative properties of vitamin D. J Hum Nutr Diet 2014; 27: (Suppl 2): 276–283
- [73] Zhang Q, Cheng Y, He M, Li T, Ma Z, Cheng H. Effect of various doses of vitamin D supplementation on pregnant women with gestational diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial. Exp Ther Med 2016; 12: 1889–1895